ACS APPLIED MATERIALS & INTERFACES

Structure and Nanomechanics of Dry and Hydrated Intermediate Filament Films and Fibers Produced from Hagfish Slime Fibers

L. J. Böni,*^{,†}[®] A. Sanchez-Ferrer,[‡] M. Widmer,[†] M. D. Biviano,[§] R. Mezzenga,[‡][®] E. J. Windhab,[†] R. R. Dagastine,[§][®] and P. Fischer[†][®]

[†]Food Process Engineering, Department of Health Science and Technology, and [‡]Food and Soft Materials, Department of Health Science and Technology, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

[§]Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Melbourne, Melbourne 3010, Australia

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Intermediate filaments (IFs) are known for their extensibility, flexibility, toughness, and their ability to hydrate. Using keratin-like IFs obtained from slime fibers from the invertebrate Atlantic hagfish (Myxine glutinosa), films were produced by drop-casting and coagulation on the surface of a MgCl₂ buffer. Drop-casting produced self-supporting, smooth, and dense films rich in β -sheets (61%), whereas coagulation formed thin, porous films with a nanorough surface and a lower β -sheet content (51%). The films hydrated and swelled immediately when immersed in water and did not dissolve. Xray diffraction showed that the β -crystallites remained stable upon hydration, that swelling presumably happens in the



amorphous C-terminal tail-domains of the IFs, and that high salt conditions caused a denser network mesh size, suggesting polyelectrolyte behavior. Hydration resulted in a roughly 1000-fold decrease in apparent Young's modulus from 10° to 10° Pa as revealed by atomic force microscopy nanoindentation. Nanoindentation-based power-law rheology and stress-relaxation measurements indicated viscoelasticity and a soft-solid hydrogel character for hydrated films, where roughly 80% of energy is elastically stored and 20% is dissipated. By pulling coagulation films from the buffer interface, macroscopic fibers with highly aligned IF β -crystals similar to natural hagfish fibers were produced. We propose that viscoelasticity and strong hydrogen bonding interactions with the buffer interface are crucial for the production of such long biomimetic fibers with aligned β -sheets. This study demonstrates that hagfish fiber IFs can be reconstituted into functional biomimetic materials that are stiff when dry and retain the ability to hydrate to become soft and viscoelastic when in water.

KEYWORDS: intermediate filaments, hagfish slime, swelling, nanoindentation, viscoelasticity

INTRODUCTION

Intermediate filaments (IFs) are a major structural element of animal cells, playing an important role in cell mechanics by acting as stress absorbers, by imparting integrity, and by mediating mechanotransduction processes.¹⁻⁶ IFs have a diameter of approximately 10 nm and share a common architecture characterized by a central α -helical coiled-coil "rod" domain, flanked by a largely amorphous N-terminal "head" and a C-terminal "tail" domain of variable lengths and sequences.⁷ Given their open molecular architecture and their unique assembly plan,⁴ IFs possess unique mechanical properties⁸⁻¹⁰ by combining extreme extensibility,¹¹⁻¹³ flexibility,¹⁴ and toughness, making them much more flexible and resistant to large deformations than other cytoskeletal polymers such as F-actin and microtubules.^{13,15,16}

A rich source of keratin-like IFs can be found in hagfish slime threads,^{17–21} commonly referred to as hagfish fibers or hagfish threads. The invertebrate hagfish are famous for their ability to produce vast amounts of defensive slime when

attacked or stressed.^{22,23} In contrast to other mucous slimes that are made primarily of mucin-like molecules, hagfish slime also contains long threads, which make it a cohesive, and softelastic biomaterial.²⁴⁻²⁷ Hagfish threads consist of axially aligned IFs, which condense to a solid $1-3 \mu m$ diameter fiber that can be up to 15 cm long.^{17,25,28,29} The threads show a typical " α -pattern" in X-ray measurements with a meridional reflection at 5.15 Å and an equatorial reflection at 9.8 Å, indicating the presence of helical structures. When large strains act on the threads, the α -helical domains in the coiled-coils begin to extend into β -strands, resulting in an irreversible $\alpha \rightarrow$ β conformational transition of the IFs.²⁴ Hagfish IFs comprise three thread keratin (TK) proteins (α , β , and γ),²⁰ whereby β is likely a post-translationally modified version of γ .¹⁸ They are classified as IFs because they contain a central rod domain with

Received: October 1, 2018 Accepted: October 29, 2018 Published: October 29, 2018



Figure 1. Separation of hagfish fiber IF protein from hagfish mucin. (a) Photograph of freeze-dried hagfish skeins on a microscopy slide (left) and confocal laser scanning microscopy (CLSM) image of hagfish slime fibers (uncoiled skeins) in water (right). (b) Photograph of freeze-dried hagfish mucin on a microscopy slide (left) and corresponding CLSM image (right). (c) Attenuated total reflectance–FTIR (ATR–FTIR) spectroscopy spectra of freeze-dried skeins and mucin (the spectra were normalized to their highest peak for comparison).

heptad repeat motifs of apolar residues, rod subdomains shared with other IF proteins, a "stutter" of the heptad repeat in subdomain 2B, conserved sequences at each end of the rod domain, and nonhelical N- and C-terminal domains that connect to the central rod domain.^{19,21,30} Hagfish IFs were termed "keratin-like" because of the features in the head and tail domains that are similar to keratin.^{19,21} TK α was found to be a type II keratin homologue and TKy possesses features of type I keratins but also contains structural similarities to type III IFs, which include desmin and vimentin.^{30,31} Furthermore, TK α and TK γ were found to self-assemble into heterodimeric IF-like nanofibrils in vitro.^{20,32,33} Hagfish fibers still comprise the only known example of extracellularly secreted IFs. As in all other known cases, IFs occur strictly intracellularly.^{29,34} Mechanical properties similar to spider silk,²⁴ as well as the high protein concentration (supposed to approach 1000 mg/ mL in hydrated threads³⁵) and the ability to isolate relatively large (several grams) quantities¹⁷ make hagfish fibers an intriguing natural source of invertebrate IFs.

Recently, macroscopic fibers were produced from naturally sourced³⁶ and bacterially expressed^{32,33} hagfish IFs from the Pacific hagfish (Eptatretus stoutii). Although these studies focused on the mechanical performance of the dry reconstituted fibers, little is known about the ability of reconstituted IF materials to hydrate and the associated changes in mechanical properties. Hydration differs strongly for hard and soft α -keratins and is a key property of IFs. In hard α -keratin such as hair and nails, the approx. 10 nm IF fibrils are embedded in an isotropic, high-sulphur matrix,³⁷ keeping them in a largely hydration-free state³⁵ and thus forming strong composite materials.^{38,39} In soft α -keratin as found in the stratum corneum (soft outer layer of skin), hydrated IFs are—apart from their mechanical role—crucial for water conservation.⁴⁰ In contrast to F-actin and microtubules, which exist in a dynamic equilibrium with soluble pools of monomers within cells, IFs are much less soluble^{40,41} and therefore constitute a good source of functional protein for biomaterials with potential applications in liquid environments.

In this study, we investigated the mechanical properties and protein secondary structure of IF films produced from hagfish fibers in the dry and hydrated state. Using naturally sourced IFs from thread skeins of the Atlantic hagfish (*Myxine* glutinosa), two different methods were used to produce films as follows: (i) drop-casting and (ii) coagulation on a magnesium chloride bath as first described by Negishi et al.³⁶ The films were compared for their secondary structure assessed by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy and X-ray diffraction, as well as their surface morphology using atomic force microscopy (AFM) imaging. The films were then hydrated and structural changes (swelling height, network mesh size) as well as viscoelasticity assessed by nanoindentation were monitored and compared to the dry state. In the last part, biomimetic fibers with aligned β -sheets similar to natural hagfish fibers were produced and implications of IF viscoelasticity on the production of such bioinspired materials are discussed. Novel materials made from hagfish IFs that show high swellability without dissolution could potentially be used in scaffolds for ocular surface reconstruction as shown for keratin,⁴² in smart textiles which show shape-morphing in response to external humidity,^{43,44} as edible coatings for food preservation in high moisture environments as similarly reported for spider silk,⁴⁵ or as nonlethal and nonkinetic naval defense to protect ships from ballistic projectiles as investigated by the US Navy.⁴⁶ Our observations provide valuable insights into the mechanics of condensed IF systems and their response to hydration and will support the design of such novel bioinspired IF materials.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Isolation of IF Protein from Hagfish Skeins. IF proteins were obtained from hagfish thread skeins-coiled up hagfish fibers-from the Atlantic hagfish (M. glutinosa). The skeins were separated from the mucin vesicles via filtration, washed with Milli-Q water, dialyzed, and freeze-dried. The isolated dry skeins (Figure 1a) and mucin (Figure 1b) were checked for purity using sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) (Figure S1a-c) and FTIR spectroscopy (Figure 1c). In SDS-PAGE, the skeins showed a major double band with molecular weights of 62 and 67 kDa, which agrees well with the findings from Koch et al.^{19,21} who reported a molecular weight of 66.6 kDa for the α -subunit and 62.7 for the γ -subunit of the IF heterodimer for the Pacific hagfish (E. stoutii). Additionally, two minor bands are visible at about 53 and 58 kDa. They could originate from small amounts of microtubules (α - and β -tubulin of approx. 50 kDa^{47}), which occur within skeins that are not fully mature.^{17,48}

Mucin showed a major band at 89 kDa and a minor band at 37 kDa and one component that did not enter the gel, as similarly observed for mucin isolated from the Pacific



Figure 2. Production, morphology, and protein secondary structure of films produced from hagfish fibers: (a) Film production from solubilized hagfish fibers. Hagfish IF protein is solubilized in formic acid and then either coagulated on a buffer containing $MgCl_2$ or drop-cast on a Teflon plate. From the buffer, the film is removed with the help of a fine supportive metal mesh that is placed under a thin Teflon plate with holes. Both films are air-dried for 24 h. Drop-casting forms self-supporting films, which show strong birefringence (b) in contrast to the coagulation method, which forms thin films (c). Birefringence assessed with polarized light microscopy (d,e). For the thin coagulation film, birefringence is only visible when several layers are on top of each other (scale bars are located on the films). FTIR peak deconvolution of drop-cast (f) and coagulation films (g).

hagfish.^{20,49} The major band at 89 kDa is in good agreement with findings of Spitzer et al.²⁰ but differs from findings of Salo et al.⁴⁹ Both components-skeins and mucins-showed distinct FTIR spectra (Figure 1c), supporting their successful separation. The skein fraction showed a spectrum characteristic for proteins with an amide I peak around ~ 1648 cm⁻¹ and amide II peak around ~ 1534 cm⁻¹. In contrast, the mucin fraction had a clearly different spectrum with a major peak around 1575 and 1393 cm⁻¹. Hagfish mucin is considered a large, highly sulfated glycoprotein. 49,50 The peak around 1575 cm⁻¹ shows shoulders at the amide I and II position, indicating the presence of the protein but shifted, probably because of sugars bound to the protein backbone. The prominent peak around 1393 cm⁻¹ could result from the protein side-chain COO⁻. The peak around 1230 cm⁻¹ could originate from the antisymmetric S=O stretch vibration from sulfates, which is typical for highly sulfated carbohydrates⁵¹ and was also observed for sputum.⁵² The peak around 1050 cm^{-1} is an indication for carbohydrates such as N-acetylglucosamine, Nacetylgalactosamine, and sialic acid bound to mucins.⁵² These peaks were also observed for other mucins such as porcine gastric mucin, bovine submaxillary mucin, 53-55 and invertebrate mucins^{56,57} and agree well with the reported sugars in hagfish mucin.^{49,50}

Hagfish IF Films Are Rich in β -sheets. Separated and freeze-dried skeins (IF protein) were solubilized in formic acid, and two different approaches were used to produce films as follows: (i) drop-casting and (ii) coagulation on the surface of an ice-cold buffer bath containing MgCl₂ (Figure 2a). Both films were partly transparent. While drop-casting produced thick, self-supporting, and highly birefringent films (Figure 2b,d), coagulation resulted in thin, brittle, and weakly birefringent films (Figure 2c,e). The stronger birefringence of the drop-cast film likely originates in the increased thickness and could additionally be favored by a higher β -sheet content. FTIR peak deconvolution revealed that both films mainly consisted of β -sheets (~1620 cm⁻¹), random coils (~1650 cm⁻¹), and a smaller amount of β -turns (~1680 cm⁻¹) (Figure 2f,g). The drop-cast film contained higher amounts of beta population (61%) compared to the coagulation film (49%) and lower amounts of random coils (39%) compared to the coagulation film (49%). The observed "cross" in birefringence (Figure 2b) suggests an orientation of IF β -crystallites in the middle of the film where the β -sheets in the IF β -crystallites are perpendicular to the incident light and a radial planar orientation in the outer rim where β -sheets in the IF β crystallites are not. To confirm this idea, the film was tilted between the cross-polarizers and the middle part also became birefringent. Additionally, a homogeneous orientation of the IF β -crystallites was observed when the film was cast on glass (Figure S2). The different β -crystallite orientations between films cast on Teflon and films cast on glass probably stem from a combination of dissimilar surface tension effects during drying and the different wetting of Teflon (hydrophobic) and glass (hydrophilic).

Although β -sheet-rich structures were similarly found for fibers produced from natural³⁶ and recombinant³² hagfish protein-where coagulation films were drawn from the water surface into macroscopic fibers—no α -helices were observed in this study. Negishi et al.³⁶ reported α -helices identified by Raman spectroscopy. However, their deconvoluted spectra would alternatively allow for identifying the peaks at 1654 cm⁻¹ and 1655 cm⁻¹ as random coils instead of α -helices given that the peaks between 1647 and 1655 cm⁻¹ are often assigned to random coils and peaks between 1656 and 1662 cm⁻¹ are often assigned to α -helices⁵⁸—and wide-angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) measurements did not show the presence of α -helices either. On the basis of circular dichroism measurements, Fu et al.³² suggested that α -helices (coiled coils) are present in hagfish IFs when they are solubilized in formic acid but undergo an α -helix to β -sheet $(\alpha - \beta)$ transition at the water/air interface during picking up the film and drawing it into a fiber. Conformational changes of proteins at interfaces are a well-known phenomenon.⁵⁹ It is possible that the higher amount of β -sheets in the drop-cast film compared to the coagulation films originates in its longer drying kinetics,



Figure 3. AFM height images showing the micro- and nanotopography of dry hagfish IF films scratched with a scalpel. The drop-cast (a) and coagulation films (b) show a smooth surface at the microscale. The height profile (*z*-data) at $y = 15 \ \mu m$ is shown below the images. At the nanoscale, the drop-cast film (c) is considerably smoother than the coagulation film (d).

leaving more time for conformational changes at the formic acid/air interface. However, given that stretching of the films was largely avoided during lifting the films from the water surface and that drop-cast films were not subjected to any stretching strains except surface tension effects during drying raise the question whether α -helices are at all present in materials produced by solubilizing the hagfish fiber protein in formic acid. The absence of α -helices thus remains elusive so far but could be linked to the strongly chaotropic nature of formic acid, to the freeze-drying step in sample preparation,⁶⁰ or to the conformational changes at the air/water interface.

Nanosurface Topology of IF Films. The height of coagulation films was typically in the range of 1 μ m and is limited by the spreading of the dope droplet at the buffer interface and the subsequent film formation. A film thickness of 2-4 μ m for drop-cast films was targeted for AFM measurements (Figure 3a,b), but in contrast to coagulation films, the height of drop-cast films can be tuned by protein concentration, cast volume, or by using a mold that can easily reach up to 50–100 μ m. Both films appeared flat at the micron scale but showed distinct differences in surface morphology at the nanoscale (Figures 3c,d and S3a,b). The drop-cast film was denser and substantially smoother than the coagulation film and occasionally showed shallow and round dents that probably stem from surface tension stresses during air-drying. The coagulation film had a more nanoporous and nanorough surface, which seems a result of the immediate solidification at the MgCl₂ buffer surface.

Hagfish IF Films Readily Hydrate and Swell in Water. The reconstituted films readily hydrated and swelled when immersed in water, similar to native hagfish slime fibers.^{24,35} Immersion in deionized water (Milli-Q), as well as in higher ionic strength solutions, did not dissolve the IF films—a hallmark feature of IFs⁶¹-and the birefringence was conserved (Figure 4a). Hydration in 1% simplified seawater (SSW; 5.45 mM NaCl + 0.1 mM CaCl₂) resulted in immediate swelling of the films, whereby the drop-cast films increased 55 \pm 9% and coagulation films increased 73 \pm 13% in height (Figure 4b). In contrast to the coagulation film, hydration did not change the surface roughness of the drop-cast film (Figures 4c and S3c,d). WAXS measurements of dry films (Figure 4d) showed the presence of β -crystallites, indicated by the two peaks corresponding to 4.7 Å (inter β -strand) and to 10.0 Å (inter β -sheet)⁶² distances, thus supporting the FTIR findings. Upon hydration, the peak at 4.7 Å remained unchanged, whereas the second peak at 10.0 Å increased to 11.0 Å when hydrated with Milli-Q water, showing a lager inter β -sheet distance. These results demonstrate that the β -crystallites do not dissolve and support the observation that birefringence does not vanish upon hydration. The main broad peak in the WAXS profile at ca. $q = 18 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ for the swollen samples, indication of water molecules, and the occurrence of a characteristic length d = 11.5 nm (Milli-Q) in small-angle Xray scattering (SAXS) measurements (Figure 4d) suggest that swelling mainly happens in the amorphous C-terminal tail domains of the IFs as already suggested for hagfish fibers.²⁴ The characteristic length likely represents an inter-IF distance and can be attributed to the average mesh size of the gel system when films are hydrated. The mesh size could be influenced by a bottle-brush configuration of the tail domains that are known to radially graft IFs (Figure 4e), whereby in average 16 IF tails radiate from a filament backbone every 22 nm, resulting in a distance of 6.6 nm between two neighboring tails.^{10,63,64} The above results suggest that hagfish IF films are semicrystalline nanocomposites consisting of β -crystallites (IF central part) and random coils (IF tails).



Figure 4. Hydration of hagfish IF protein films: (a) Hydration of a drop-cast film between cross-polarizers, showing birefringence for the dry and the hydrated state. (b) Swelling of the hydrated films, depicted as the height change percentage compared to the dry films. (c) Change in surface root mean square (rms) roughness upon hydration (data based on images shown in Figures 3 and S3). (d) SAXS and WAXS profiles of dry and hydrated drop-cast films. For the hydrated films, different salt concentrations were measured, whereby 5.45 mM NaCl + 0.1 mM CaCl₂ is the salt concentration also used in AFM measurements in liquid. (e) Model depicting the hydration of hagfish IFs. The films are made of randomly oriented IFs. The amorphous C-terminal tail domains radiate perpendicular to the IF cylinder axis in a bottlebrush configuration. In the illustration, the tail domains are end-grafted to a plane rather than a filament cylinder for simplicity. Upon hydration, the tail polymers stretch out and the brush height grows with polymer length.

X-ray measurements further suggest a polyelectrolyte behavior of hagfish IFs, which can be seen in the decrease of the average mesh size d and of the inter β -sheet distance upon salt addition (Figure 4d). The mesh size decreased from d =11.5 nm in Milli-Q to d = 10.6 nm in 1% SSW to d = 10.2 nm in 100% SSW, implying that charge screening effects at high salt levels reduce the expansion of the IF tail domains and thus probably cause the IF film to swell less. Polyelectrolyte properties of IFs are well known, especially for neurofilaments (NFs) as they are considered to regulate the steric and electrostatic repulsions between the amorphous sidearms and thus affect both single NFs and NF networks.⁶⁴⁻⁶⁹ Also, hagfish thread skeins were found to display salt-dependent properties.^{28,70} Threads uncoiled substantially faster when subjected to Milli-Q compared to seawater, which was considered to result from an increased swelling of the hagfish fiber in Milli-Q.⁷

The mesh size for the dry films can be estimated by relating the average mesh size of a hydrated film (d = 10.6 nm) to its macroscopic swelling ratio V/V_0 . Using the swelling ratio of 1.55 for the drop-cast film, an average mesh size of d = 6.8 nm for the dry film is obtained. These results suggest that the entropic brush of tail domains, which maintain the interfilament spacing, expands from 6.8 to 10.6 nm upon hydration 1% SSW and thus causes swelling in hagfish IF films.^{64,72} A schematic model depicting the structural changes occurring during hydration of hagfish IF films is shown in Figure 4e.

Elastic Modulus of Dry and Hydrated Films. Mechanical properties of the films were assessed using AFM nanoindentation. Representative force-distance (F-D) curves in air (dry films) and in water (hydrated films, in 1% SSW) with the respective Hertz fits to obtain the Young's modulus are shown in Figure 5a. The reported elastic moduli in this



Figure 5. AFM force-distance (F-D) curves obtained from spherical indenters on dry and hydrated IF films. (a) Representative F-D curves obtained by indenting dry (left) and hydrated (right) films. The F-D curves were fitted with the Hertz model using the approach curve (hydrated films) and the retrace curve (dry films) to obtain an apparent Young's modulus. (b) Histograms depicting the elastic modulus *E* from dry and hydrated films.

study are referred to as "apparent", as a result of the sudden load during indentation. Dry films had high apparent elastic moduli ($E \approx 10^9$ Pa), which decreased about 1000-fold upon hydration ($E \approx 10^6$ Pa) (Figure 5b). A 1000-fold decrease in stiffness upon hydration is in line with observations for other matrix-free soft keratins such as stratum corneum and hagfish fibers.³⁵ The drop-cast films had a higher elastic modulus than the coagulation films, in both dry and hydrated state, which could be due to their increased β -sheet content and their higher density as the coagulation films appear porous, that is, are less dense. The elastic moduli of the dry films (5.1 GPa for coagulation films; 7.7 GPa for drop-cast films) agree well with the value reported for dry hagfish fibers in extension ($E \approx 8$ GPa⁷³) and are in the range of elastic moduli determined by indentation for other dry keratins such as the cortical component of wool (4 GPa^{74}), hair (7.4 GPa^{75}), or fingernails (4.6 GPa).⁷⁶ Furthermore, the elastic moduli of the hydrated films (E = 6.1 MPa drop-cast films; E = 3.9 MPa coagulation films) agree well with elastic moduli reported for hydrated hagfish fibers $(6 \text{ MPa})^{24}$ as well as for other IFs such as



Figure 6. Effect of cantilever approach speed on the viscoelastic properties of hydrated hagfish IF films: (a) Force–distance (F-D) curves on a hydrated coagulation film. The respective power-law rheology (PLR) fits using Ting's model⁸⁹ are overlaid (dotted lines). The inlet shows a schematic drawing of the modified PLR model depicting the serial connection of spring and dashpot elements. (b) Effect of cantilever approach speed on the apparent elastic modulus. (c) Effect of cantilever approach speed on the power-law exponent α for coagulation and drop-cast films. Every point in (b,c) represents an aggregation of a minimum of 120 force curves acquired by force–volume mapping (FVM).

vimentin (9 MPa⁷⁷) but are a bit lower than values determined for single vimentin IFs in extension (27 MPa¹⁶).

Viscoelasticity of Hydrated IF Films. Apparent elastic moduli were obtained using the Hertz fit, assuming a purely elastic response of the deformed material. This criterion was satisfied for the dry films, but the F-D curves on the hydrated films clearly showed energy dissipation, recognizable by a hysteresis loop between the approach and retraction curve (Figure 5a). Hysteresis was similarly observed in uniaxial extension experiments with hydrated hagfish fibers.²⁴ The hysteresis loops did not show fatigue after repeated indentation (Figure S4), implying that there is no permanent plastic deformation. Therefore, a behavior similar to tough hydrogels⁷⁸ as well as viscoelasticity^{79,80} was observed. Viscoelas-ticity is well known for IFs,^{38,77,81} and indentation curves showing a similar recoverable hysteresis were observed for hydrated collagen fibrils⁸² and stratum corneum⁸³ and cells,⁸⁴ as well as polyacrylamide, poly(vinyl alcohol) hydrogels,^{84–86} and polydimethylsiloxane.^{87,88} To assess the viscoelastic behavior of the hydrated IF films, two different approaches were used. On the one hand, constitutive viscoelastic parameters were extracted directly from AFM F-D curves using a method developed by Efremov et al.,⁸⁴ where the indentation speed was varied (Figure 6). On the other hand, viscoelastic properties were assessed from stress-relaxation measurements (Figure 7), where the effect of maximum load was investigated.

Increasing the cantilever approach speeds lead to a decrease in the indentation depth (from approx. 60 nm at 0.1 μ m/s to 40 nm at 8 μ m/s) in *F*–*D* measurements (Figure 6a) and caused an increase in apparent elastic moduli of roughly 50% (Figure 6b), both evidence for viscoelasticity.^{88,90} The apparent elastic moduli at a cantilever approach speed of 0.1 μ m/s (3.6 ± 1.1 MPa for coagulation films; 6.1 ± 0.5 MPa for drop-cast films) increased about 50% when the speed was 40fold increased to 4 μ m/s (5.3 ± 1.8 MPa for coagulation films; 9.3 ± 1.1 MPa for drop-cast films). The power-law rheology (PLR) model (explained in depth in the Materials and Methods section) fitted the *F*–*D* curves well over the range of investigated cantilever approach speeds and showed a predominantly soft-solid response, revealed by the power-law exponent α , where $\alpha = 0$ means a solid-like and $\alpha = 1$ a fluid-



Figure 7. AFM stress–relaxation measurements on a hydrated hagfish IF film and the corresponding stretched exponential fits (red lines). (a) Stress relaxation of a coagulation film from an initial load of about 90 nN. The inlet depicts the calculation for δ —a parameter to relate elastic $F_{\rm E}$ and viscous $F_{\rm V}$ forces similar to the rheological loss factor tan δ . (b) Stress relaxation measurements performed at increasing initial peak load (strain).

like behavior. The power-law exponents of both films ($\alpha = 0.19 \pm 0.02$ for drop-cast films; $\alpha = 0.16 \pm 0.02$ for coagulation films) were similar and did not vary substantially with speed (Figure 6c). The derived instantaneous moduli E_0 (22 \pm 3 MPa for drop-cast films; 12 \pm 3 MPa for coagulation films) were higher than the apparent elastic moduli for both films, which could infer an underestimation of elasticity at very short experimental times. The F-D curves showed a slight "twist" at peak indentation, which started to occur at an approach speed of 4 μ m/s and was more pronounced at 8 μ m/s. By increasing the approach velocity while leaving the sampling rate fixed (2 kHz), the AFM feedback loop may have become less accurate. Also, the piezo motion could have experienced some noise at the turn point, which is more likely at higher speeds.

The stretched exponential model fitted the relaxation behavior of both hydrated IF films well (Figure 7a), yielding a fractional power-law exponent $\beta = 0.45 \pm 0.07$ and a relaxation time $\tau = 0.40 \pm 0.07$ s for the drop-cast film and $\beta = 0.31 \pm 0.03$ and $\tau = 0.75 \pm 0.22$ s for the coagulation film. The lower β for the coagulation film suggests a broader distribution of underlying relaxation times,⁹¹ which could be due to its more heterogeneous and rough structure. Its heterogeneous



Figure 8. Implications of viscoelasticity on the production of biomimetic fibers: (a) Pulling a coagulation film from the MgCl₂ buffer surface into a long fiber. (b) Close-up of the coagulation film "curtain" during fiber pulling. The curtain is stretched at the water interface, causing the randomly distributed IFs to align with the fiber axis. (c,d) 2D WAXS patterns of reconstituted and natural hagfish fibers showing the axial alignment of β -sheets. The yellow arrow denotes the fiber axis direction. (e,f) Azimuthal intensity distribution of the WAXS profiles and the derived order parameter *S* showing the silk-like alignment of the IF β -sheets in both the reconstituted and the natural hagfish fibers.

and presumably less dense structure could also be a reason for the higher relaxation time τ . Small discrepancies between the fit and the measurement data can be observed at very short times, which probably stem from experimental difficulties such as simultaneous detection of short and long times and the challenge to impose an instantaneous step strain.⁹² A parameter $\delta = F_V/F_E$ that relates the stored elastic F_E to dissipated viscous stresses F_V —similar to the loss factor tan δ = E''/E' in rheology—was calculated from the relaxation measurements (Figure 7a, inlet). Drop-cast films showed δ = 0.24 ± 0.05 , suggesting that they retain more stored elastic stresses than the coagulation films ($\delta = 0.32 \pm 0.05$) after 10 s relaxation-corresponding to a theoretical oscillatory frequency of 0.1 Hz. These values support the finding that hydrated hagfish IF films show viscoelastic solid-like behavior and dissipate viscous stresses under load. Increasing loads in stress relaxation measurements did not affect the stretch exponent β but resulted in increased relaxation times τ (Figure 7b). This implies that strain (in the tested range) does not affect the overall relaxation mechanism of the many independently relaxing structures. However, higher strains increase the time these structures require to relax, implying the relaxation of physical bonds rather than chemical cross-links. The more physical entanglements are stressed, the more time they require to dissipate this stress. The PLR model also fitted the stress relaxation measurements well and yielded similar power-law exponents (0.05 < α < 0.2) for increasing step loads (Figure S5) as obtained from the F-D curves using Ting's model. However, the less parametrized stretched-exponential model was found more resilient to describe the stressrelaxation behavior. The challenge to impose an instantaneous step load especially for AFM in liquid environments and the possibility of slight thermal drift during the long dwells (10 s)—which is less the case in the faster F-D measurements—seem to affect the PLR model more and therefore the stretched-exponential model was chosen to describe the stress-relaxation in the dwell measurements.

On the basis of the mechanical and structural findings, we propose that hagfish IF films possess an amorphous matrix constituted by the IF tail domains with embedded β -crystallites, originating from the central part of the IFs. It is challenging to distinguish whether the structure is a connective β -crystallite matrix with amorphous domains or a connective amorphous matrix with embedded β -crystallites only from FTIR and X-ray measurements. However, the 1000-fold reduction in elasticity upon hydration strongly favors the latter model, as a β -crystallite matrix is unlikely to soften this substantially. Furthermore, the finding that larger strains require more time for the system to relax suggests that the contact points in hagfish IF films are physical, which is likely due to the entangled and overlapping IF tail domains.

Hydrated IF Films do Not Exhibit Strain Stiffening. It was further tested if increasing strain provokes strain stiffening in hydrated IF films in indentation measurements (Figure S6). Spanning a range between 20 and 250 nm indentation depth, no strain stiffening was observed. The apparent elastic modulus, as well as the power-law exponent α from the PLR fit remained constant except for the smallest indentation and when the indenter was changed from a spring constant of 1.75 to 38.5 nN/nm (Figure S6) to achieve larger indentations and

still operate in the linear range of cantilever deflection. It is likely that surface roughness of the coagulation film as well as the small cantilever deflection caused inaccuracies at the smallest indentation depth. The small change of moduli upon cantilever change could be due to minor deviations in the deflection calibration of the cantilever. Strain stiffening was described for IF hydrogel networks^{63,77,81,93} and is caused by an entropy loss associated with the stretching of filaments in between cross-links of the (hydrogel) network.⁶³ For strain stiffening to occur, the contact points must be sufficiently strong to stretch the filament strands as otherwise the links break and the strands relax.93,94 The contact points (i.e., overlapping and entangling IF tail domains) in hagfish IF films likely keep the films together, prevent dissolution, and are mainly responsible for the viscoelastic response. However, as only β -sheets are observed, it is possible that the increased rigidity of β -sheets compared to the more flexible α -helical coiled coils^{95,96} prevents stretching of the IF. The physical contact points of the "tail-domain matrix" might be too weak to stretch the β -sheets. Furthermore, it is possible that indentation is less prone to induce strain stiffening as it exerts compression rather than stretching. Compression might require higher strains, that is, deeper indentations to achieve the same amount of stretching than shear or extension.

Implications of Viscoelasticity for Biomimetic Fiber **Production.** Coagulation films can be lifted up from the water interface of the MgCl₂ buffer and pulled into macroscopic fibers³⁶ (Figure 8a). The fibers reached lengths up to 12 cm, which is about five times longer than reported previously³² and in the range of natural hagfish fibers $(10-20 \text{ cm}^{28,29})$. The hydrated film formed a "curtain" that is stretched at the air/ water interface upon pulling (Figure 8b). The stretching caused an alignment of the otherwise randomly distributed IFs along the fiber axis (Figure 8c) similar to natural hagfish fibers (Figure 8d) as shown by WAXS measurements. The WAXS pattern of the reconstituted fibers (inter β -strand spacing of 0.46 nm; inter β -sheet spacing of 0.94 nm) strongly resembled the patterns of natural stretched hagfish fibers (inter β -strand spacing of 0.46 nm; inter β -sheet spacing of 0.94 nm) and also showed a silk-like alignment of the β -crystallites along the fiber axis as reported for IFs in natural hagfish fibers.^{17,24} The alignment of the IF β -crystallites was assessed by the order parameter S (Figure 8e,f), which provides a measure of the long-range crystalline order of a material and is defined as the second Legendre polynomial $S = \frac{1}{2} \langle 3 \cos^2 \phi - 1 \rangle$, where Φ is the angle between the axis of an individual molecule and the director of the crystal averaged over the complete ensemble.⁹ The order parameter ranges from a perfect parallel orientation (S = 1) to a perfect perpendicular orientation (S = -0.5) and is S = 0 for an unoriented material.^{98,99} For both the reconstituted and the natural fibers, order parameters of $S \approx$ 0.3 in the low *q*-range (15 nm⁻¹ < q < 17 nm⁻¹) and $S \approx 0.5$ in the high q-range (5.6 nm⁻¹ < q < 7.6 nm⁻¹) were found, implying a nematic-like alignment of the β -strands (high q; from 15 to 17 nm⁻¹) and β -sheets (low q, from 5.6 to 7.6 nm^{-1}).

We suggest that a combination of viscoelasticity and the interaction of the film with the water interface are crucial for the production of such long biomimetic fibers with aligned β -sheets. The viscoelastic properties allow stretching and relaxation during stretching, which seem to be important to manufacture long fibers without removing the film from the

surface at once. Furthermore, strong interactions probably due to hydrogen bonding between the film and the water interface are crucial to achieve a substantial stretching of the "curtain" and thus create long fibers with aligned IFs. We found that tiny residues of surfactants in the buffer prevented the pulling of long fibers, underlining the importance of the interaction of the film with the water interface. Also, we found that in contrast to a previous work which reported that a secondary drawing of the reconstituted fibers increased the IF alignment,³² IF alignment was only minimally improved by "double drawing" in this work. This shows that if the film strongly interacts with the buffer surface, it is sufficiently stretched and thus most IFs are aligned already during pulling from the interface.

CONCLUSIONS

IF films from hagfish fiber protein were produced by dropcasting and coagulation on a MgCl₂ buffer. Drop-casting yielded self-supporting, smooth, and dense films rich in β sheets (61%), whereas coagulation formed thin, porous films with a nanorough surface and a lower β -sheet content (51%). Both films were birefringent and can be considered semicrystalline nanocomposites consisting of β -crystallites (IF central part) and random coils (IF tails). When immersed in water, the films immediately swelled, resulting in a height increase of 55 and 73% for the drop-cast and the coagulation film, respectively. SAXS and WAXS revealed that the β crystallites remained stable upon hydration and that swelling presumably happens in the C-terminal tail-domains of the IFs. These amorphous tails are considered to be radially grafted to the IFs in a bottle-brush configuration, which hydrates and increases in diameter and thus causes swelling. X-ray measurements further revealed a polyelectrolyte behavior of hagfish IFs as the average mesh size of the IF network as well as the inter β -sheet distance decreased upon increase of salt concentration due to charge screening, with Milli-Q showing a larger average mesh size of 11.5 nm compared to simplified seawater (SSW) with an average mesh size of 10.2 nm.

Using AFM nanoindentation, it was observed that hydration in 1% SSW caused a roughly 1000-fold decrease in the apparent elastic modulus from 10⁹ to 10⁶ Pa. The apparent elastic moduli for the drop-cast films ($E_{dry} = 7.7$ GPa, $E_{hydrated} =$ 6.1 MPa) were higher than those of the coagulation films (E_{drv} = 5.1 GPa, E_{hydrated} = 3.9 MPa), which could be due to their higher β -sheet content and the lower density of the porous coagulation films. The hydrated films displayed distinct viscoelastic behavior characteristic for soft-solid and tough hydrogels identified by repeatable and fully recoverable hysteresis loops in force-distance (F-D) curves and velocity dependence of the elastic modulus and indentation depth, as well as partly viscous stress relaxation. Fitting a PLR model directly to the F-D curves yielded a power-law relaxation exponent α of roughly <0.2 for both films, suggesting 80% of elastic storage and 20% of viscous loss in force measurements, which was supported by stress relaxation measurements. Fitting a stretched exponential force decay model to stress relaxation measurements of hydrated IF films further revealed a lower stretched exponential factor β for coagulation films (β = 0.31) than for drop-cast films ($\beta = 0.45$), implying a broader distribution of relaxation times for the coagulation films. Also, coagulation films exhibited a higher relaxation time ($\tau = 0.75$ s) than drop-cast films ($\tau = 0.4$ s) at a given peak load of 90 nN, implying that the more heterogeneous and porous structure takes longer to relax. Increasing loads (strain) in

stress relaxation resulted in higher relaxation times, suggesting that physical entanglements are more disrupted at larger strains and thus take longer to dissipate the stress. We propose that hagfish IF films are semicrystalline nanocomposites made from β -crystallites from an $\alpha \rightarrow \beta$ transformed central part of the IFs embedded in an amorphous matrix constituted by the physically entangled C-terminal tail-domains, which determines cohesion and viscoelasticity in hydrated films. Upon stress, the matrix entanglements are disrupted whereby a larger stress causes more disruption and thus requires a longer time for the physical contact points to dissipate this stress. These physical contact points of the tail-domain matrix do not seem strong enough to stretch the IF β -sheets and thus induce strain hardening.

We further suggest that viscoelasticity and strong hydrogen bonding interactions of the coagulation film with the buffer surface are crucial for a successful fiber making process, in which a coagulation film is pulled from the buffer interface into a fiber. The combination of relaxing stresses within the film and strong hydrogen bonding of the film with the water interface allow for a continuous stretching yet prevent early removal of the film from the interface, thus creating long biomimetic fibers with high IF alignment similar to natural hagfish fibers. X-ray measurements revealed that this method yields reconstituted fibers that possess order parameters of $S \approx$ 0.3 for the β -strands and $S \approx 0.5$ for the β -sheets, implying a nematic-like alignment as similarly observed in natural fibers. This study shows that functional IF materials that immediately swell and soften in water without dissolving can be produced from hagfish slime fibers, which could potentially be used in applications such as contact lenses, scaffolds for cell cultures, smart textiles, food preservation, and nonlethal naval defense.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Exudate Sampling and Protein Purification. Exudate sampling and storage in 1 M citrate/PIPES (CP) buffer is in depth described by Böni et al.¹⁰⁰ Exudate in CP buffer was concentrated by mild centrifugation to avoid compaction (1000 g, 5 min). The supernatant containing large amounts of mucin vesicles was filtered through a series (60 and 20 μ m) of nylon mesh filters (Merck) to separate remaining skeins from the vesicle suspension. The pelleted skeins were then washed in cycles comprising resuspension with CP buffer, mild centrifugation, and decanting. In the first three cycles, the washing was done with CP buffer, then with CP buffer containing 10 mM dithiothreitol (DTT), then with 0.5 M CP buffer, with 50 mM DTT in Milli-Q, and finally three times with Milli-Q only. The skeins and the mucin vesicle suspension were dialyzed against 3 l Milli-Q over 24 h at 4 $^\circ C$ using a prewetted RC dialysis tube (MWCO 25 kDa; Spectrum Laboratories, USA) comprising three water changes. The dialyzed samples were snap-frozen in liquid N2 and freeze-dried (VirTis BenchTop Pro, SP Scientific, USA) for 24 h. The dry samples were stored airtight at room temperature until further use. Import of the samples was approved by the Swiss Federal Food Safety and Veterinary Office (FSVO), and export was approved by the Norwegian Seafood Council.

Production of Films and Fibers. Two kinds of films were produced, "drop-cast" and "coagulation" films. A protein dope for film production was prepared by solubilizing lyophilized skeins in \geq 96% formic acid for 1 h to obtain a 10% w/v stock solution.³⁶ Proper dissolution of the protein required intense vortexing during the first 10 min. For drop-casting, 50 μ L of 5% w/v dope was pipetted on a flat Teflon surface, forming a film with a diameter of about 8 mm. The film was removed after drying 24 h at ambient air. Coagulation films were produced following a slightly modified protocol of Negishi et al.³⁶ In brief, about 10 μ L of the 5% w/v protein dope was dropped on the surface of an ice-cooled coagulation bath containing 100 mM

magnesium chloride $(MgCl_2)$ and 20 mM 4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1piperazineethanesulfonic acid at pH 7.5. Before film formation, a fine woven wire cloth with 1 mm mesh size (Haver & Boecker, Germany) and above it a thin Teflon plate with holes (8 mm diameter) were immersed in the coagulation bath. The film formed in the hole of the Teflon slide and was lifted out of the bath after 15 s. The underlying metal mesh ensured that the film was not stretched by surface tension during removal. For fiber production, the coagulation films were picked with tweezers in the center and lifted with a speed of approx. 2 cm/s 15 s after formation. The fibers were placed on a flat Teflon slide and dried at room temperature for 24 h. Natural hagfish fibers for X-ray measurement were obtained by placing hagfish slime on a Teflon plate and gently stretching the slime uniaxially. The aligned slime fibers were air-dried for 24 h, washed with 50 mM DTT and Milli-Q, and subsequently dried again for 24 h.

Microscopy. Transmission light microscopy was performed on Nikon Diaphot (Nikon, Japan), and cross-polarizers were used for birefringence assessment. The images were analyzed with the NIS elements D3.0 software. CLSM was performed on Zeiss LSM 780 (Carl Zeiss AG, Germany). The slime fibers were stained with Nile Blue (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) and imaged in reflection mode. Freezedried mucin was not stained and imaged in transmission mode. Image stacks were acquired and collapsed to yield a two-dimensional (2D) image.

Gel Electrophoresis. Freeze-dried skeins (200 μ g) were mixed with 100 μ L 1× Laemmli loading buffer. The samples were ultrasounded for 10 min at a frequency of 80 kHz (Elmasonic P, Elma, Germany) and subsequently heated for 15 min at 90 °C with a mixing rate of 500 rpm (Mixing Block MB102, ACTGene, USA). Before loading, all samples were centrifuged at 10 000 g (Biofuge Pico, Heraeus, Germany) to remove nonsolubilized cellular debris. The gels were run on 10-20% Criterion TGX Stain-Free precast gels (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The reactor was filled with electrophoresis buffer, which was diluted from a 5× stock solution (0.25 M Tris, 1.92 M glycine and 0.5% SDS in Milli-Q). Protein ladder (10 µL) (Precision Plus Protein Standards Dual Color, Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA) was loaded along with 20 μ L of sample. The sample was run for 20 min at 100 V and subsequently for 12 min at 300 V. The gel was stained for 1 h (0.05% w/v Coomassie blue R250, 50% v/v methanol, 10% v/v acetic acid glacial and 40% v/v Milli-Q) and subsequently destained (10% v/v methanol, 7.5% v/v acetic acid glacial and 82.5% v/v Milli-Q) on an agitation unit (Automated Gel Stainer, Hoefer, USA) for 24 h. The destaining solution was replaced several times. An image of the gel was acquired using the Molecular Imager Gel Doc XR + System with Image Lab Software 5.2.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories, USA). The molecular weight of the bands was calculated according to the linear equation of the logarithmic weight of the protein marker versus the running distance relative to the dye front.

Attenuated Total Reflectance-FTIR Spectroscopy. ATR-FTIR spectroscopy was performed on an FTIR device (Varian 800 FTIR, Varian, USA) equipped with an ATR accessory (Golden Gate Standard, Specac, USA). The software Resolutions (Resolutions 4.0, Varian, USA) was used for acquisition of the ATR-FTIR spectra. The spectra were acquired in the wavenumber range of 600-4000 cm⁻¹ with a resolution of 4 cm⁻¹. The number of scans was set to 64 and automatic atmospheric compensation was performed. The background was subtracted from all spectra. For qualitative comparison, the spectra were normalized between 0 and 1 by the maximum of the amide I peak. Peak deconvolution was performed with the software OriginPro 9.1.0 (Electronic Arts, USA). The amide I peak (~1650 cm⁻¹) was selected visually and the edges were defined as local minima on each side of the peak. A straight line was subtracted to correct the tilt of the amide I peak. The second derivative with a Savitzky-Golay smoothing was calculated with a polynomial order of 2 and 7 points of window. The peak deconvolution was performed using a multiple pseudo-Voigt I peak fit and by selecting the minima of the second derivative, indicating the location of the deconvolved peaks. All fits had R^2 of >0.99. The choice of peak assignments to the

secondary structure motifs is based on the work of Hu et al. 58 and Zou et al. 101

X-ray Diffraction. SAXS and WAXS experiments were performed at ambient temperature. Fibers: WAXS experiments on fibers were performed using Bruker AXS Micro (Bruker, USA) equipped with a microfocused beam (50 W, 50 kV, 1 mA) with the $l_{CuKa} = 0.15418$ nm radiation to obtain direct information on the scattering patterns. The scattering intensities were collected by a 2D Dectris 2D Pilatus 100k X-ray detector (83.8 cm \times 33.5 cm, 172 μ m resolution) (Dectris, Switzerland). An effective scattering vector range of 1 $\text{nm}^{-1} < q < 25$ nm^{-1} was obtained, where q is the scattering wave vector defined as q = $4\pi \sin \theta / l_{Cu K\alpha}$ with a scattering angle of 2θ . Swollen and dry films: SAXS and WAXS experiments on dry and swollen films were performed using a Rigaku MicroMax-002⁺ (Rigaku, Japan) equipped with a microfocused beam (40 W, 45 kV, 0.88 mA) with the $l_{Cu K\alpha}$ = 0.15418 nm radiation collimated by three pinhole collimators (0.4, 0.3, and 0.8 mm) to obtain direct information on the scattering patterns. The SAXS and WAXS intensities were collected by a 2D Triton-200 gas-filled X-ray detector (20 cm diameter, 200 μ m resolution) and a 2D Fujifilm BAS-MS 2025 imaging plate system $(15.2 \times 15.2 \text{ cm}^2, 50 \ \mu\text{m} \text{ resolution})$ (Fujifilm, Japan). An effective scattering vector range of 0.05 $\text{nm}^{-1} < q < 25 \text{ nm}^{-1}$ was obtained. The WAXS intensity profiles were deconvoluted by using pseudo-Voigt peak functions. The hydrated films were evaluated by using a gel-like model including a pseudo-Voigt peak function, where the resulting peak position is related to the average distance between solvent pockets (mesh size).

AFM Imaging and Nanoindentation. AFM was performed on a Cypher (Asylum Research, USA). Images were acquired in tapping mode in air and water using OMCL-AC240TS cantilevers (Olympus, Japan). In water, the cantilevers were driven with the Blue Drive technology. Nanoindentation was performed using gold-coated highprecision spherical tip cantilevers (nanoTools, Germany) made of diamond-like carbon. The tip radius of each cantilever is measured in a high-resolution scanning electron microscope and is precise down to the nanometer. Dry films were indented in air using a 50 nm radius indenter (B50-NCAu) with a spring constant of 32 nN/nm at 2 μ m/s. Indentation in liquid was performed using indenters with 500 nm radius (B500-NCAu and B500-FMau) with spring constants of 38.5 nN/nm and of 1.75 nN/nm, respectively. All indentation measurements were performed at a point sampling rate of 2 kHz. Unless stated differently, indentation in liquid was performed at 1 μ m/s approach speed. The thermal method was used to determine the spring constant of the cantilevers. Before every indentation measurement, the InvOLS was determined by indenting on a silica substrate. Force-volume maps were acquired containing between 120 and 1200 force curves. The drop-cast films were directly cast on the silicon substrate and dried for 24 h at room temperature. As discussed in the Results and Discussion part, casting on glass-as well as on siliconleads to a β -sheet orientation parallel to the film surface, resulting in homogeneous mechanical properties throughout the film. Washed and dry coagulation films were placed on silicon substrates and gently hydrated with Milli-Q, which caused the films to stick and allowed subsequent repeated hydration without removal. All measurements were performed at room temperature.

Film Hydration. The films were hydrated in deionized water (Milli-Q) and in SSW (545 mM NaCl + 10 mM CaCl₂) to mimic the high salt conditions faced by natural hagfish fibers as well as in 1% SSW (5.45 mM NaCl + 0.1 mM CaCl₂). For AFM nanoindentation and swelling height measurements, the films were hydrated in 1% SSW to screen surface charges. Swelling of films was assessed with AFM using FVM. Similar to imaging in tapping mode, FVM provides a height profile by determining the contact point of the cantilever and sample. The combination of this "low-speed tapping" with the long approach/retrace distances avoids dragging of the sample and allows for imaging the same sample in air and subsequently in liquid without changing the sample or cantilever. Thus, the exact same position can be monitored before and after hydration. All FVM images were acquired on a sample edge (scratched with a scalpel) to always have both sample and substrate on the same image to more accurately

monitor swelling. The height images were flattened (first order) and the difference between the medium value of the height histogram of the film to the histogram of the substrate was recorded as height. All measurements were performed on three different films at room temperature.

Roughness Calculation. The root mean square (RMS) roughness of the films was calculated from $5 \times 5 \,\mu$ m AFM images, which were segmented into 25 1 × 1 μ m squares (Table S1) to obtain rms roughness values within the relevant range for indentation (indenter size = 1 μ m diameter in water). The images were flattened (0 order) and the rms roughness was calculated using Igor Pro (WaveMetrics, USA).

Calculation of Apparent Elasticity. The apparent Young's modulus was extracted by fitting the Hertz contact model (eq 1) to the loading part of the hydrated films and to the unloading part of the dry films of the AFM force–distance (F–D) curves using Igor Pro (WaveMetrics, USA) and MATLAB (MathWorks, USA). The Hertz model for a spherical indenter has the following form

$$F(\delta) = \frac{4\sqrt{R}}{3(1-\nu^2)} E_{\text{Hertz}} \delta^{3/2}$$
(1)

where *F* is the force acting on the cantilever, δ is the indentation depth, *R* is the radius of the spherical indenter, *E*_{Hertz} is the Young's modulus, and ν is the sample Poisson's ratio (assumed to be 0.5 for full volume conservation).

Calculation of Viscoelasticity. Viscoelastic parameters of the hydrated films were obtained on the one hand (i) directly from F-D curves using an approach by Efremov et al.⁸⁴ and on the other hand by (ii) fitting a stretched exponential model to stress-relaxation measurements. All (visco)elasticity values were corrected for bottom effects (finite sample thickness) where required.^{102,103}

(i) Viscoelasticity Directly from F–D Curves. The major challenge in viscoelastic analysis of F-D curves in AFM nanoindentation testing is a moving boundary condition. Pushing a hard indenter into a soft viscoelastic material results in a changing contact area with time between the indenter and the sample half-space, making the elasticviscoelastic correspondence principle not applicable.¹ Lee and Radok¹⁰⁵ showed that if the solution of the elastic problem is known, then the time-dependent stresses and deformations for an axisymmetric indenter (i.e., the solution to the corresponding viscoelastic problem) may be found by replacing the elastic modulus after introduction of an appropriate hereditary integral operator.8 Their method provides a time-dependent indentation depth for any load history that does not produce a decrease in the contact area, that is, the function is only valid for the approach curve.⁸⁴ A more general approach to solving the linear viscoelastic contact problem for an approach-retraction indentation cycle with a spherical rigid indenter was later presented by Ting.⁸⁹ Ting's solution can be applied to any arbitrary history of contact area and is described by following equations

$$F(t, \delta(t)) = \begin{cases} \frac{4\sqrt{R}}{3(1-\nu^2)} \int_0^t E(t-\xi) \frac{\partial \delta^{3/2}}{\partial \xi} \partial \xi, & 0 \le t \le t_{\rm m} \\ \frac{4\sqrt{R}}{3(1-\nu^2)} \int_0^{t_{\rm l}(t)} E(t-\xi) \frac{\partial \delta^{3/2}}{\partial \xi} \partial \xi, & t_{\rm m} \le t \le t_{\rm ind} \end{cases}$$

$$(2)$$

$$\int_{t_1(t)}^t E(t-\xi) \frac{\partial \delta}{\partial \xi} \partial \xi = 0$$
(3)

where E(t) is the Young's relaxation modulus (analogous to the sample relaxation function of Lee and Radok), *t* is the time of initial contact between the sample and indeter, t_m is the duration of the approach phase, t_{ind} is the duration of the complete indentation cycle, and t_1 is the auxiliary function (eq 3). The first part of eq 2 is valid for the indentation approach curve, during which the contact area increases ($0 \le t \le t_m$, t_m is the end of the approach phase). This solution is equal to the solution presented by Lee and Radok. The

second part of eq 2 is valid for the retraction curve $(t > t_m)$. Here, an auxiliary function t_1 is introduced and defined by $a(t_1) = a(t)$, $t_1(t) < t_m$, which means that the contact area *a* at time *t* during the retraction phase is equal to the contact area at time t_1 during the approach phase. The t_1 values found must satisfy the formula in the eq 2. Using the auxiliary $t_1(t)$ function, the contact area $a(t_1(t))$ and the effective indentation $\delta_1(t_1(t))$ during the retraction phase—best imagined as the indentation relative to the relaxing sample surface—can then be calculated. A time-dependent relaxation modulus E(t) then allows for the use of linear viscoelastic constitutive equations with Ting's model. As the relaxation modulus is a decaying function, it can be well described by rheological models such as power-law rheology (PLR) model as used in this study⁸⁴

$$E(t) = E_{\infty} + \frac{E_0 - E_{\infty}}{1 + (t/t')^{\alpha}}$$
(4)

where E_0 is the instantaneous modulus, E_∞ is the infinite modulus, t' is a small time offset (equal to 5×10^{-5} s in this study), and α is the power-law exponent (where $\alpha = 0$ means a solid-like behavior and $\alpha = 1$ a fluid-like behavior). The modified PLR can be viewed as an infinite number of spring and dashpot combinations in parallel with removed zero-time singularity, leading to a continuous relaxation spectrum and power-law decay.^{84,106,107}

(*ii*) Stretch Exponential Fit. AFM stress-relaxation measurements were performed by indenting and leaving the indenter to dwell in the film for 10 s while the z-piezo drive is paused and cantilever deflection, that is, force is monitored over time. The relaxation curves were fitted with a stretched exponential function of the form

$$F = F_{\rm E} + F_{\rm V} \exp[-t/\tau] \tag{5}$$

where $F_{\rm E}$ represents the stored (elastic) stresses, $F_{\rm V}$ is the dissipated (viscous) stresses, τ is the relaxation time, and β is a fractional powerlaw exponent $(0 < \beta \leq 1)$ used for "stretching". A stretched exponential relaxation is commonly interpreted as a "global relaxation" of a system that contains many independently relaxing species of which each decays exponentially in time with a specific relaxation rate.⁹¹ A stretching exponent of $\beta = 1$ results in simple exponential decay, whereas smaller values of \dot{eta} suggest nonexponential relaxation processes that show a "fat tail" at long times, which originates from a continuous sum of exponential decays.^{91,108}The stretching exponent β is considered to be related to the logarithmic full width at half-maximum of the relaxation time probability distribution $P(\tau/\tau^*,\beta)$, where τ^* denotes a characteristic relaxation time. A physical interpretation of β is that it represents a measure of the intrinsic small relaxation rate cutoff of $P(\tau/\tau^*,\beta)$.⁹¹ The stretched exponential function is commonly used to describe the relaxation behavior of homogeneous glasses as well as of linear viscoelastic media.¹⁰⁸ ⁻¹¹⁰ Nonlinear curve fitting was performed with the software Origin (OriginLab, USA) using the Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm. For each film, 17 curves were fitted, each with a peak load 90 nN as in the indentation force-volume maps. Peak loads of 90 nN force resulted in average indentation depths of 43 ± 5 nm for drop-cast films and 58 \pm 8 nm for coagulation films. During the 10 s dwells, the spherical indenter crept about 10 nm into the films because of unavoidable elastic stresses in the cantilever, resulting in a change of the indentation area. However, this change in area was found to be generally smaller than the uncertainty of the measurements and for the sake of simplicity, the area was considered constant for the stretch-exponential analysis.¹¹¹

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acsami.8b17166.

SDS-PAGE of hagfish skeins and mucin; birefringence of a drop-cast film on glass; AFM images of a drop-cast and a coagulation film; repeated indentation on the same spot; PLR model fitted to AFM stress-relaxation measurements; increasing indentation depth for two differently stiff cantilevers; and rms and average deviation roughness of dry and hydrated hagfish IF films (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: lukas.boeni@hest.ethz.ch.

ORCID 💿

- L. J. Böni: 0000-0003-4958-0037
- R. Mezzenga: 0000-0002-5739-2610
- R. R. Dagastine: 0000-0002-2154-4846
- P. Fischer: 0000-0002-2992-5037

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: L.J.B., A.S.-F., R.R.D., and P.F. Methodology: L.J.B. and A.S.-F. Formal analysis: L.J.B., M.W., and A.S.-F. Investigation: L.J.B., M.W., A.S.-F., and M.B. Data curation: L.J.B., M.W., and A.S.-F. Writing—original draft: L.J.B. Rewriting—review & editing: L.J.B., A.S.-F., R.R.D., R.M., E.J.W., and P.F. Visualization: L.J.B. Supervision: P.F., E.J.W., R.R.D., and R.M; project administration: P.F. and E.J.W. Funding acquisition: P.F. and E.J.W.

Funding

This work was supported by ETH Research grant ETH-19 14-1. The travel expenses for M.W. were partly funded by the Walter Hochstrasser Foundation.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the Aquarium in Ålesund "Atlanterhavsparken" and in particular the curator Rune Veiseth and Trond Østrem for providing hagfish. We also thank Møreforsking for the possibility to use their facilities and for supervising the sampling of the hagfish according to the ethical guidelines (FOTS ID 6912). We thank Joe Berry and Srinivas Mettu for their helpful inputs regarding AFM measurements. We also thank Yuri Efremov for his extensive help regarding fitting and interpretation of his MATLAB code using Ting's model and for his comments on our manuscript. We acknowledge Sebastian Schade for his help regarding indenter choice.

REFERENCES

(1) Herrmann, H.; Bär, H.; Kreplak, L.; Strelkov, S. V.; Aebi, U. Intermediate Filaments: From Cell Architecture to Nanomechanics. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol.* **2007**, *8*, 562–573.

(2) Fuchs, E.; Cleveland, D. W. A Structural Scaffolding of Intermediate Filaments in Health and Disease. *Science* **1998**, *279*, 514–519.

(3) Magin, T. M.; Hesse, M.; Schröder, R. Novel Insights into Intermediate-Filament Function from Studies of Transgenic and Knockout Mice. *Protoplasma* **2000**, *211*, 140–150.

(4) Qin, Z.; Buehler, M. J.; Kreplak, L. A Multi-Scale Approach to Understand the Mechanobiology of Intermediate Filaments. *J. Biomech.* **2010**, *43*, 15–22.

(5) Ramms, L.; Fabris, G.; Windoffer, R.; Schwarz, N.; Springer, R.; Zhou, C.; Lazar, J.; Stiefel, S.; Hersch, N.; Schnakenberg, U.; Magin, T. M.; Leube, R. E.; Merkel, R.; Hoffmann, B. Keratins as the Main Component for the Mechanical Integrity of Keratinocytes. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2013**, *110*, 18513–18518.

(6) Seltmann, K.; Fritsch, A. W.; Käs, J. A.; Magin, T. M. Keratins Significantly Contribute to Cell Stiffness and Impact Invasive Behavior. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2013**, *110*, 18507–18512.

(7) Strelkov, S. V.; Herrmann, H.; Aebi, U. Molecular Architecture of Intermediate Filaments. *BioEssays* **2003**, *25*, 243–251.

(8) Block, J.; Schroeder, V.; Pawelzyk, P.; Willenbacher, N.; Köster, S. Physical Properties of Cytoplasmic Intermediate Filaments. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Res.* **2015**, *1853*, 3053–3064.

(9) Kreplak, L.; Fudge, D. Biomechanical Properties of Intermediate Filaments: From Tissues to Single Filaments and Back. *BioEssays* **2007**, *29*, 26–35.

(10) Köster, S.; Weitz, D. A.; Goldman, R. D.; Aebi, U.; Herrmann, H. Intermediate Filament Mechanics in Vitro and in the Cell: From Coiled Coils to Filaments, Fibers and Networks. *Curr. Opin. Cell Biol.* **2015**, 32, 82–91.

(11) Mücke, N.; Kreplak, L.; Kirmse, R.; Wedig, T.; Herrmann, H.; Aebi, U.; Langowski, J. Assessing the Flexibility of Intermediate Filaments by Atomic Force Microscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *335*, 1241–1250.

(12) Kreplak, L.; Herrmann, H.; Aebi, U. Tensile Properties of Single Desmin Intermediate Filaments. *Biophys. J.* 2008, 94, 2790–2799.

(13) Guzmán, C.; Jeney, S.; Kreplak, L.; Kasas, S.; Kulik, A. J.; Aebi, U.; Forró, L. Exploring the Mechanical Properties of Single Vimentin Intermediate Filaments by Atomic Force Microscopy. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2006**, *360*, 623–630.

(14) Nöding, B.; Köster, S. Intermediate Filaments in Small Configuration Spaces. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 088101.

(15) Kreplak, L.; Bär, H.; Leterrier, J. F.; Herrmann, H.; Aebi, U. Exploring the Mechanical Behavior of Single Intermediate Filaments. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2005**, *354*, 569–577.

(16) Block, J.; Witt, H.; Candelli, A.; Peterman, E. J. G.; Wuite, G. J. L.; Janshoff, A.; Köster, S. Nonlinear Loading-Rate-Dependent Force Response of Individual Vimentin Intermediate Filaments to Applied Strain. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2017**, *118*, 048101.

(17) Downing, S. W.; Spitzer, R. H.; Koch, E. A.; Salo, W. L. The hagfish slime gland thread cell. I. A unique cellular system for the study of intermediate filaments and intermediate filament- micro-tubule interactions. *J. Cell Biol.* **1984**, *98*, 653–669.

(18) Spitzer, R. H.; Koch, E. A.; Downing, S. W. Maturation of Hagfish Gland Thread Cells: Composition and Characterization of Intermediate Filament Polypeptides. *Cell Motil. Cytoskeleton* **1988**, *11*, 31–45.

(19) Koch, E. A.; Spitzer, R. H.; Pithawalla, R. B.; Castillos, F. A., 3rd; Parry, D. A. D. Hagfish Biopolymer: A Type I/type II Homologue of Epidermal Keratin Intermediate Filaments. *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.* **1995**, *17*, 283–292.

(20) Spitzer, R. H.; Downing, S. W.; Koch, E. A.; Salo, W. L.; Saidel, L. J. Hagfish Slime Gland Thread Cells. II. Isolation and Characterization of Intermediate Filament Components Associated with the Thread. *J. Cell Biol.* **1984**, *98*, 670–677.

(21) Koch, E. A.; Spitzer, R. H.; Pithawalla, R. B.; Parry, D. A. An Unusual Intermediate Filament Subunit from the Cytoskeletal Biopolymer Released Extracellularly into Seawater by the Primitive Hagfish (Eptatretus Stouti). *J. Cell Sci.* **1994**, *107*, 3133–3144.

(22) Parker, T. J.; Jeffery Parker, T.; Haswell, W. A. A Text-Book of Zoology; Macmillan, 1910.

(23) Jørgensen, J. M.; Lomholt, J. P.; Weber, R. E.; Malte, H. The Biology of Hagfishes; Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.

(24) Fudge, D. S.; Gardner, K. H.; Forsyth, V. T.; Riekel, C.; Gosline, J. M. The Mechanical Properties of Hydrated Intermediate Filaments: Insights from Hagfish Slime Threads. *Biophys. J.* **2003**, *85*, 2015–2027.

(25) Fudge, D. S.; Levy, N.; Chiu, S.; Gosline, J. M. Composition, Morphology and Mechanics of Hagfish Slime. *J. Exp. Biol.* 2005, 208, 4613–4625.

(26) Ewoldt, R. H.; Winegard, T. M.; Fudge, D. S. Non-Linear Viscoelasticity of Hagfish Slime. *Int. J. Non Lin. Mech.* **2011**, *46*, 627–636.

(27) Böni, L.; Fischer, P.; Böcker, L.; Kuster, S.; Rühs, P. A. Hagfish Slime and Mucin Flow Properties and Their Implications for Defense. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 30371. (28) Fernholm, B. Thread Cells from the Slime Glands of Hagfish (Myxinidae). *Acta Zool.* **1981**, *62*, 137–145.

(29) Downing, S. W.; Spitzer, R. H.; Salo, W. L.; Downing, J. S.; Saidel, L. J.; Koch, E. A. Threads in the Hagfish Slime Gland Thread Cells: Organization, Biochemical Features, and Length. *Science* **1981**, *212*, 326–328.

(30) Fudge, D. S.; Schorno, S.; Ferraro, S. Physiology, Biomechanics, and Biomimetics of Hagfish Slime. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2015**, *84*, 947–967.

(31) Schaffeld, M.; Schultess, J. Genes coding for intermediate filament proteins closely related to the hagfish "thread keratins (TK)" α and γ also exist in lamprey, teleosts and amphibians. *Exp. Cell Res.* **2006**, *312*, 1447–1462.

(32) Fu, J.; Guerette, P. A.; Pavesi, A.; Horbelt, N.; Lim, C. T.; Harrington, M. J.; Miserez, A. Artificial Hagfish Protein Fibers with Ultra-High and Tunable Stiffness. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 12908–12915. (33) Fu, J.; Guerette, P. A.; Miserez, A. Self-Assembly of Recombinant Hagfish Thread Keratins Amenable to a Strain-Induced α -Helix to β -Sheet Transition. *Biomacromolecules* **2015**, *16*, 2327– 2339.

(34) Downing, S. W.; Salo, W. L.; Spitzer, R. H.; Koch, E. A. The Hagfish Slime Gland: A Model System for Studying the Biology of Mucus. *Science* **1981**, *214*, 1143–1145.

(35) Fudge, D. S.; Gosline, J. M. Molecular Design of the α -Keratin Composite: Insights from a Matrix-Free Model, Hagfish Slime Threads. *Proc. Biol. Sci.* **2004**, 271, 291–299.

(36) Negishi, A.; Armstrong, C. L.; Kreplak, L.; Rheinstadter, M. C.; Lim, L.-T.; Gillis, T. E.; Fudge, D. S. The Production of Fibers and Films from Solubilized Hagfish Slime Thread Proteins. *Biomacromolecules* **2012**, *13*, 3475–3482.

(37) Feughelman, M. Two-Phase Structure for Keratin Fibers. *Text.* Res. J. 1959, 29, 223–228.

(38) Charrier, E. E.; Janmey, P. A. Mechanical Properties of Intermediate Filament Proteins. *Methods Enzymol.* 2016, 568, 35–57.
(39) Fraser, R. D.; Macrae, T. P. Molecular Structure and Mechanical Properties of Keratins. *Symp. Soc. Exp. Biol.* 1980, 34, 211–246.

(40) Fudge, D. S.; Winegard, T.; Ewoldt, R. H.; Beriault, D.; Szewciw, L.; McKinley, G. H. From Ultra-Soft Slime to Hard α -Keratins: The Many Lives of Intermediate Filaments. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **2009**, 49, 32–39.

(41) Fey, E. G. Epithelial Cytoskeletal Framework and Nuclear Matrix-Intermediate Filament Scaffold: Three-Dimensional Organization and Protein Composition. *J. Cell Biol.* **1984**, *98*, 1973–1984. (42) Reichl, S.; Borrelli, M.; Geerling, G. Keratin Films for Ocular

Surface Reconstruction. *Biomaterials* 2011, 32, 3375–3386.

(43) Xiong, R.; Grant, A. M.; Ma, R.; Zhang, S.; Tsukruk, V. V. Naturally-Derived Biopolymer Nanocomposites: Interfacial Design, Properties and Emerging Applications. *Mater. Sci. Eng.*, R **2018**, *125*, 1–41.

(44) Gladman, A. S.; Matsumoto, E. A.; Nuzzo, R. G.; Mahadevan, L.; Lewis, J. A. Biomimetic 4D Printing. *Nat. Mater.* **2016**, *15*, 413–418.

(45) Marelli, B.; Brenckle, M. A.; Kaplan, D. L.; Omenetto, F. G. Silk Fibroin as Edible Coating for Perishable Food Preservation. *Sci. Rep.* **2016**, *6*, 25263.

(46) Mapp, K. US Navy Synthetically Recreates Biomaterial to Assist Military Personnel. https://www.navy.mil/submit/display.asp?story_id=98521, 2017.

(47) Desai, A.; Mitchison, T. J. Microtubule Polymerization Dynamics. Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol. **1997**, 13, 83-117.

(48) Winegard, T.; Herr, J.; Mena, C.; Lee, B.; Dinov, I.; Bird, D.; Bernards, M., Jr.; Hobel, S.; Van Valkenburgh, B.; Toga, A.; Fudge, D. Coiling and Maturation of a High-Performance Fibre in Hagfish Slime Gland Thread Cells. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 3534.

(49) Salo, W. L.; Downing, S. W.; Lidinsky, W. A.; Gallagher, W. H.; Spitzer, R. H.; Koch, E. A. Fractionation of Hagfish Slime Gland Secretions: Partial Characterization of the Mucous Vesicle Fraction. *Prep. Biochem.* **1983**, *13*, 103–135.

(50) Lehtonen, A.; Kärkkäinen, J.; Haahti, E.; von Hofsten, B.; Williams, D. H.; Bunnenberg, E.; Djerassi, C.; Records, R. Carbohydrate Components in the Epithelial Mucin of Hagfish, Myxine Glutinosa. *Acta Chem. Scand.* **1966**, *20*, 1456–1462.

(51) Powell, D. A.; Turula, V.; Dehaseth, J. A.; Vanhalbeek, H.; Meyer, B. Sulfate Detection in Glycoprotein-Derived Oligosaccharides by Artificial Neural Network Analysis Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectra. *Anal. Biochem.* **1994**, *220*, 20–27.

(52) Lewis, A. T.; Jones, K.; Lewis, K. E.; Jones, S.; Lewis, P. D. Detection of Lewis Antigen Structural Change by FTIR Spectroscopy. *Carbohydr. Polym.* **2013**, *92*, 1294–1301.

(53) Nikonenko, N. A.; Bushnak, B. A.; Keddie, J. L. Spectroscopic Ellipsometry of Mucin Layers on an Amphiphilic Diblock Copolymer Surface. *Appl. Spectrosc.* **2009**, *63*, 889–898.

(54) Lewis, S. P.; Lewis, A. T.; Lewis, P. D. Prediction of Glycoprotein Secondary Structure Using ATR-FTIR. *Vib. Spectrosc.* **2013**, *69*, 21–29.

(55) Ahn, J.; Crouzier, T.; Ribbeck, K.; Rubner, M. F.; Cohen, R. E. Tuning the Properties of Mucin via Layer-by-Layer Assembly. *Biomacromolecules* **2015**, *16*, 228–235.

(56) Bavington, C. D.; Lever, R.; Mulloy, B.; Grundy, M. M.; Page, C. P.; Richardson, N. V.; McKenzie, J. D. Anti-Adhesive Glycoproteins in Echinoderm Mucus Secretions. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol., Part B: Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **2004**, *139*, 607–617.

(57) Lalonde, S. V.; Dafoe, L. T.; Pemberton, S. G.; Gingras, M. K.; Konhauser, K. O. Investigating the Geochemical Impact of Burrowing Animals: Proton and Cadmium Adsorption onto the Mucus Lining of Terebellid Polychaete Worms. *Chem. Geol.* **2010**, *271*, 44–51.

(58) Hu, X.; Kaplan, D.; Cebe, P. Determining Beta-Sheet Crystallinity in Fibrous Proteins by Thermal Analysis and Infrared Spectroscopy. *Macromolecules* **2006**, *39*, 6161–6170.

(59) Mezzenga, R.; Fischer, P. The Self-Assembly, Aggregation and Phase Transitions of Food Protein Systems in One, Two and Three Dimensions. *Rep. Prog. Phys.* **2013**, *76*, 046601.

(60) Schwegman, J. J.; Carpenter, J. F.; Nail, S. L. Infrared Microscopy for in Situ Measurement of Protein Secondary Structure during Freezing and Freeze-Drying. *J. Pharm. Sci.* **2007**, *96*, 179–195.

(61) Herrmann, H.; Aebi, U. Intermediate Filaments: Molecular Structure, Assembly Mechanism, and Integration into Functionally Distinct Intracellular Scaffolds. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2004**, *73*, 749–789.

(62) Fraser, R. D. B.; MacRae, T. P.; Parry, D. A. D.; Suzuki, E. The structure of β -keratin. *Polymer* **1969**, *10*, 810–826.

(63) Kornreich, M.; Avinery, R.; Malka-Gibor, E.; Laser-Azogui, A.; Beck, R. Order and Disorder in Intermediate Filament Proteins. *FEBS Lett.* **2015**, 589, 2464–2476.

(64) Beck, R.; Deek, J.; Jones, J. B.; Safinya, C. R. Gel-Expanded to Gel-Condensed Transition in Neurofilament Networks Revealed by Direct Force Measurements. *Nat. Mater.* **2010**, *9*, 40–46.

(65) Beck, R.; Deek, J.; Choi, M. C.; Ikawa, T.; Watanabe, O.; Frey, E.; Pincus, P.; Safinya, C. R. Unconventional Salt Trend from Soft to Stiff in Single Neurofilament Biopolymers. *Langmuir* **2010**, *26*, 18595–18599.

(66) Wen, Q.; Janmey, P. A. Polymer Physics of the Cytoskeleton. *Curr. Opin. Solid State Mater. Sci.* **2011**, *15*, 177–182.

(67) Janmey, P. A.; Slochower, D. R.; Wang, Y.-H.; Wen, Q.; Cebers, A. Polyelectrolyte Properties of Filamentous Biopolymers and Their

Consequences in Biological Fluids. *Soft Matter* **2014**, *10*, 1439–1449. (68) Kumar, S.; Yin, X.; Trapp, B. D.; Hoh, J. H.; Paulaitis, M. E. Relating Interactions between Neurofilaments to the Structure of Axonal Neurofilament Distributions through Polymer Brush Models. *Biophys. J.* **2002**, *82*, 2360–2372.

(69) Zhulina, E. B.; Leermakers, F. A. M. The Polymer Brush Model of Neurofilament Projections: Effect of Protein Composition. *Biophys. J.* **2010**, *98*, 462–469.

(70) Koch, E. Structural Forms and Possible Roles of Aligned Cytoskeletal Biopolymers in Hagfish (slime Eel) mucus*1. *J. Struct. Biol.* **1991**, *106*, 205–210.

(71) Böni, L. J.; Zurflüh, R.; Baumgartner, M. E.; Windhab, E. J.; Fischer, P.; Kuster, S.; Rühs, P. A. Effect of Ionic Strength and Seawater Cations on Hagfish Slime Formation. *Sci. Rep.* **2018**, *8*, 9867.

(72) Brown, H. G.; Hoh, J. H. Entropic Exclusion by Neurofilament Sidearms: A Mechanism for Maintaining Interfilament Spacing. *Biochemistry* **1997**, *36*, 15035–15040.

(73) Fudge, D. S.; Hillis, S.; Levy, N.; Gosline, J. M. Hagfish Slime Threads as a Biomimetic Model for High Performance Protein Fibres. *Bioinspiration Biomimetics* **2010**, *5*, 035002.

(74) Parbhu, A. N.; Bryson, W. G.; Lal, R. Disulfide Bonds in the Outer Layer of Keratin Fibers Confer Higher Mechanical Rigidity: Correlative Nano-Indentation and Elasticity Measurement with an AFM. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 11755–11761.

(75) Bhushan, B.; Chen, N. AFM Studies of Environmental Effects on Nanomechanical Properties and Cellular Structure of Human Hair. *Ultramicroscopy* **2006**, *106*, 755–764.

(76) Farran, L.; Roland Ennos, A.; Eichhorn, S. J. Microindentation and Nanoindentation of Human Fingernails at Varying Relative Humidity. J. Mater. Res. **2009**, *24*, 980–984.

(77) Lin, Y.-C.; Yao, N. Y.; Broedersz, C. P.; Herrmann, H.; Mackintosh, F. C.; Weitz, D. A. Origins of Elasticity in Intermediate Filament Networks. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2010**, *104*, 058101.

(78) Zhao, X. Multi-Scale Multi-Mechanism Design of Tough Hydrogels: Building Dissipation into Stretchy Networks. *Soft Matter* **2014**, *10*, 672–687.

(79) Rebelo, L. M.; de Sousa, J. S.; Mendes Filho, J.; Radmacher, M. Comparison of the Viscoelastic Properties of Cells from Different Kidney Cancer Phenotypes Measured with Atomic Force Microscopy. *Nanotechnology* **2013**, *24*, 055102.

(80) Cappella, B. Mechanical Properties of Polymers Measured through AFM Force-Distance Curves; Springer Laboratory; Springer International Publishing: Cham, 2016.

(81) Schopferer, M.; Bär, H.; Hochstein, B.; Sharma, S.; Mücke, N.; Herrmann, H.; Willenbacher, N. Desmin and Vimentin Intermediate Filament Networks: Their Viscoelastic Properties Investigated by Mechanical Rheometry. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2009**, *388*, 133–143.

(82) Uhlig, M. R.; Magerle, R. Unraveling Capillary Interaction and Viscoelastic Response in Atomic Force Microscopy of Hydrated Collagen Fibrils. *Nanoscale* **2017**, *9*, 1244–1256.

(83) Yuan, Y.; Verma, R. Measuring Microelastic Properties of Stratum Corneum. Colloids Surf., B 2006, 48, 6-12.

(84) Efremov, Y. M.; Wang, W.-H.; Hardy, S. D.; Geahlen, R. L.; Raman, A. Measuring Nanoscale Viscoelastic Parameters of Cells Directly from AFM Force-Displacement Curves. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 1541.

(85) Efremov, Y. M.; Bagrov, D. V.; Kirpichnikov, M. P.; Shaitan, K. V. Application of the Johnson–Kendall–Roberts Model in AFM-Based Mechanical Measurements on Cells and Gel. *Colloids Surf.*, B 2015, 134, 131–139.

(86) Chen, J. F.; Xu, K. J.; Tang, L. Q.; Liu, Z. J.; Zhou, L. C. Study on the Optimal Loading Rates in the Measurement of Viscoelastic Properties of Hydrogels by Conical Indentation. *Mech. Mater.* **2018**, *119*, 42–48.

(87) Attard, P. Measurement and Interpretation of Elastic and Viscoelastic Properties with the Atomic Force Microscope. J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 2007, 19, 473201.

(88) Gillies, G.; Prestidge, C. A.; Attard, P. An AFM Study of the Deformation and Nanorheology of Cross-Linked PDMS Droplets. *Langmuir* **2002**, *18*, 1674–1679.

(89) Ting, T. C. T. The Contact Stresses Between a Rigid Indenter and a Viscoelastic Half-Space. J. Appl. Mech. 1966, 33, 845.

(90) Nawaz, S.; Sánchez, P.; Bodensiek, K.; Li, S.; Simons, M.; Schaap, I. A. T. Cell Visco-Elasticity Measured with AFM and Optical Trapping at Sub-Micrometer Deformations. *PLoS One* **2012**, *7*, No. e45297.

(91) Johnston, D. C. Stretched Exponential Relaxation Arising from a Continuous Sum of Exponential Decays. *Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter Mater. Phys.* **2006**, 74. DOI: 10.1103/physrevb.74.184430

(92) Meng, F.; Pritchard, R. H.; Terentjev, E. M. Stress Relaxation, Dynamics, and Plasticity of Transient Polymer Networks. *Macro-molecules* **2016**, *49*, 2843–2852.

(93) Pawelzyk, P.; Mücke, N.; Herrmann, H.; Willenbacher, N. Attractive Interactions among Intermediate Filaments Determine Network Mechanics in Vitro. *PLoS One* **2014**, *9*, No. e93194.

(94) Kroy, K.; Glaser, J. The Glassy Wormlike Chain. *New J. Phys.* 2007, *9*, 416.

(95) Perticaroli, S.; Nickels, J. D.; Ehlers, G.; O'Neill, H.; Zhang, Q.; Sokolov, A. P. Secondary Structure and Rigidity in Model Proteins. *Soft Matter* **2013**, *9*, 9548.

(96) Perticaroli, S.; Nickels, J. D.; Ehlers, G.; Sokolov, A. P. Rigidity, Secondary Structure, and the Universality of the Boson Peak in Proteins. *Biophys. J.* **2014**, *106*, 2667–2674.

(97) De Rosa, C.; Auriemma, F. Crystals and Crystallinity in Polymers: Diffraction Analysis of Ordered and Disordered Crystals; John Wiley & Sons, 2013.

(98) Zugenmaier, P. X-Ray Analysis of Partial Crystalline Fibre Structure. *Handbook of Textile Fibre Structure*; Elsevier, 2009; pp. 46–120.

(99) Hermans, P. H.; Platzek, P. Beiträge Zur Kenntnis Des Deformationsmechanismus Und Der Feinstruktur Der Hydratzellulose. *Kolloid-Z.* **1939**, *88*, 68–72.

(100) Böni, L. J.; Zurflüh, R.; Widmer, M.; Fischer, P.; Windhab, E. J.; Rühs, P. A.; Kuster, S. Hagfish Slime Exudate Stabilization and Its Effect on Slime Formation and Functionality. *Biol. Open* **2017**, *6*, 1115–1122.

(101) Zou, Y.; Li, Y.; Hao, W.; Hu, X.; Ma, G. Parallel β -Sheet Fibril and Antiparallel β -Sheet Oligomer: New Insights into Amyloid Formation of Hen Egg White Lysozyme under Heat and Acidic Condition from FTIR Spectroscopy. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2013**, *117*, 4003–4013.

(102) Dimitriadis, E. K.; Horkay, F.; Maresca, J.; Kachar, B.; Chadwick, R. S. Determination of Elastic Moduli of Thin Layers of Soft Material Using the Atomic Force Microscope. *Biophys. J.* 2002, 82, 2798–2810.

(103) Niu, T.; Cao, G. Finite Size Effect Does Not Depend on the Loading History in Soft Matter Indentation. J. Phys. D: Appl. Phys. 2014, 47, 385303.

(104) Fischer-Cripps, A. C. Nanoindentation; Springer: New York, 2011.

(105) Lee, E. H.; Radok, J. R. M. The Contact Problem for Viscoelastic Bodies. J. Appl. Mech. 1960, 27, 438.

(106) Bagley, R. L. Power Law and Fractional Calculus Model of Viscoelasticity. *AIAA J.* **1989**, *27*, 1412–1417.

(107) Sharpe, W. N. Springer Handbook of Experimental Solid Mechanics; Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.

(108) Mauro, J. C.; Mauro, Y. Z. On the Prony Series Representation of Stretched Exponential Relaxation. *Phys. A* 2018, 506, 75–87.

(109) Berry, G. C.; Plazek, D. J. On the Use of Stretched-Exponential Functions for Both Linear Viscoelastic Creep and Stress Relaxation. *Rheol. Acta* **1997**, *36*, 320–329.

(110) Zanzotto, L.; Stastna, J. Dynamic Master Curves from the Stretched Exponential Relaxation Modulus. J. Polym. Sci., Part B: Polym. Phys. **1997**, 35, 1225–1232.

(111) Üzüm, C.; Hellwig, J.; Madaboosi, N.; Volodkin, D.; von Klitzing, R. Growth Behaviour and Mechanical Properties of PLL/HA Multilayer Films Studied by AFM. *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *3*, 778–788.