## **Supporting Information**

## Structure and nanomechanics of dry and hydrated intermediate filament films and fibers produced from hagfish slime fibers

L J Böni<sup>1‡</sup>, A Sanchez-Ferrer<sup>2</sup>, M Widmer<sup>1</sup>, M D Biviano<sup>3</sup>, R Mezzenga<sup>2</sup>, E J Windhab<sup>1</sup>, R R Dagastine<sup>3</sup>, and P Fischer<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Food Process Engineering, Department of Health Science and Technology, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>2</sup>Food and Soft Materials, Department of Health Science and Technology, ETH Zürich, 8092 Zürich, Switzerland

<sup>3</sup>Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Melbourne, Melbourne, Australia

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author email: lukas.boeni@hest.ethz.ch



**Figure S1: SDS-PAGE of freeze-dried hagfish skeins and mucin. (a)** Skeins (hagfish fiber intermediate filament protein) at various concentrations consistently show a double band around 62 to 67 kDa. **(b)** Magnification of double band from (a) at a sample concentration of 0.25  $\mu$ g/ $\mu$ l. **(c)** Dialyzed hagfish mucin



Figure S2: Birefringence of hagfish IF film drop-cast on glass. (a) Holding the glass slide parallel to the cross-polarizers results in no birefringence pattern. (b) When the glass slide is tilted birefringence is observed. This suggests that the  $\beta$ -sheets in the IF  $\beta$ -crystallites are parallel to the glass substrate. Therefore, when the film is held parallel to the cross-polarizers, the incident light is perpendicular to the  $\beta$ -sheets and thus no birefringence is observed.



**Figure S3:** AFM images of a drop-cast film (**a**) and a coagulation film (**b**) in the dry state. AFM images of a drop-cast film (**c**) and a coagulation film (**d**) in liquid (5.45 mM NaCl +  $0.1 \text{ mM CaCl}_2$ ).



Figure S4: Repeated indentation on the same spot at increasing trigger force on a coagulation film. Red curve - 1st indentation, black curve 6th indentation for increasing trigger forces (a) < (b) < (c). The hysteresis loop does not change with repeated indentations on the same spot, showing that there is no permanent plastic deformation.



**Figure S5: Power-law rheology (PLR) model fitted to AFM stress-relaxation measurements on a hydrated hagfish IF film (coagulation film). (a)** Stress relaxation from an initial load of about 90 nN with fitted PLR model. **(b)** Stress relaxation measurements performed at increasing initial peak load (strain) and the corresponding PLR fits. The red lines depict fits where *t'* was held constant at 5\*10<sup>-5</sup>, similar to the PLR fits used for the F-D curves. The blue lines represent fits where *t'* was let run free for the fitting, which resulted in better fits but also in substantially higher alpha values (in brackets).



Figure S6: Effect of increasing indentation depth (strain) for two differently stiff cantilevers on the mechanical properties of hydrated coagulation film. (a) Force-distance curves (solid lines) performed

at increasing peak forces on a hydrated coagulation film, overlaid with the PLR fit. The graph on the left shows curves obtained with a soft cantilever (1.75 nN/nm) and the graph on the right shows curves obtained using a stiff cantilever (38.5 nN/nm). Both cantilevers had a radius of 500 nm. (b) Elastic modulus and (c) power-law exponent a based on data shown in (a).

<b>Table S1:</b> Root mean square (RMS) and average deviation (ADev) roughness of dry and hydrated hagfish IF films calculated for $1 \times 1 \mu m$ and $2 \times 2 \mu m$ window sizes.								
	Coagulation film				Drop-cast film			
	dry		hydrated		dry		hydrated	
	1 x 1 μm window (n=25)	2 x 2 μm window (n=5)	1 x 1 μm window (n=25)	2 x 2 μm window d (n=25)	1 x 1 μm window (n=25)	2 x 2 μm window (n=5)	1 x 1 μm window (n=5)	2 x 2 μm window n=5)
RMS (nm)	20.8 ± 4.3	19.1 ± 1.3	35.7 ± 7.7	37.0 ± 4.6	6.4 ± 4.3	7.1 ± 3.8	6.2 ±- 3.0	6.7 ± 2.8
ADev (nm)	15.3 ± 3.8	15.1 ± 1.3	22.3 ± 5.7	28.1 ± 6.6	3.8 ± 3.4	4.7 ± 3.0	3.3 ± 1.4	5.2 ± 2.2