## Anisotropic Wood-Water Interactions Determined by Gravimetric Vapor Sorption Experiments

## Antoni Sánchez-Ferrer\*, Max Engelhardt, Klaus Richter

Technical University of Munich, School of Life Sciences, Chair of Wood Science, D-80797 Munich, Germany

Antoni Sánchez-Ferrer*:	orcid.org/0000-0002-1041-0324; email: <a href="mailto:sanchez@hfm.tum.de">sanchez@hfm.tum.de</a>
Max Engelhardt:	orcid.org/0000-0002-9781-7476; email: engelhardt@hfm.tum.de
Klaus Richter:	orcid.org/0000-0002-6583-0254; email: <u>richter@hfm.tum.de</u>

Figure SI-1. The three wood orthotropic directions in beech disk samples	3
Figure SI-2. 3D printed DVT cub	3
Figure SI-3. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for disk1-L (DSE model)	4
Figure SI-4. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for disk2-R (DSE model)	5
Figure SI-5. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for disk3-T (DSE model)	6
Figure SI-6. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for disk1-L (RP model)	7
Figure SI-7. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for disk2-R (RP model)	8
Figure SI-8. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for disk3-T (RP model)	9
Figure SI-9. Kinetic constant and diffusion coefficient	10
Figure SI-10. Diffusion coefficient comparison between DSE, RP and SSE models	11
Figure SI-11. Relative directional kinetic constant and relative directional diffusion coefficient	12
Figure SI-12. Moisture sorption isotherms (GAB* and SSO model)	13
Figure SI-13. Sorption coefficient	14
Figure SI-14. DVT experiments (exponential-linear model)	16
Figure SI-15. DVT experiments (linear model)	17
Figure SI-16. Permeability, diffusion and sorption coefficients, and transmission rate values	20
Figure SI-17. Relative directional permeability and diffusion coefficients	21
Figure SI-18. Transmission rate values	22

Table SI-1. DSE fitting parameters, kinetic constant and diffusion coefficient for disk1-L	4
Table SI-2. DSE fitting parameters, kinetic constant and diffusion coefficient for disk2-R	5
Table SI-3. DSE fitting parameters, kinetic constant and diffusion coefficient for disk3-T	6
Table SI-4. RP fitting parameters, kinetic constant and diffusion coefficient for disk1-L	7
Table SI-5. RP fitting parameters, kinetic constant and diffusion coefficient for disk2-R	8
Table SI-6. RP fitting parameters, kinetic constant and diffusion coefficient for disk3-T	9
Table SI-7. GAB* and SSO fitting parameters, and sorption sites molar concentration	13
Table SI-8. Sorption coefficient from MSI	15
Table SI-9. DVT fitting parameters and coefficients for disk1-L	18
Table SI-10. DVT fitting parameters and coefficients for disk2-R	18
Table SI-11. DVT fitting parameters and coefficients for disk3-T	19



Figure SI-1. Beech disks with the three wood orthotropic directions perpendicular to the disk plane: a) disk1-L – longitudinal -, b) disk2-R – radial - and c) disk3-T - tangential.



Figure SI-2. Cross-section along the cylindrical axis of the 3D-printed DVT cup. The upper component is used to attach the wood sample between two O-ring seals while the bottom component is used either as a water reservoir or as a drying agent container.



Figure SI-3. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for the disk1-L. The green lines are the fitting curves following the double stretched exponential (DSE) model.

Table SI-1. Double stretched exponential fitting parameters ( $(m/m_{dry})_{eq}$ , A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ) and the equivalent lifetime ( $\tau$ ), stretched exponential factor ( $\beta$ ) and kinetic constant (k), and the diffusion coefficient (D) for each adsorption/desorption step in the DVS experiment for the disk1-L.

RH [%]	(m/m <sub>dry</sub> ) <sub>eq</sub>	A1	A <sub>2</sub>	$\tau_1$ [min]	τ₂ [min]	β1	β₂	τ [min]	β	k [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	D [m²/s]	R
10.82	1.0234	-0.01913	-0.00139	23.96	106.9	1.052	1.210	26.42	0.893	6.31E-04	1.37E-10	0.9996
21.31	1.0388	-0.01041	-0.00492	16.88	54.52	1.037	1.069	23.82	0.771	7.00E-04	1.52E-10	0.9996
31.21	1.0525	-0.00771	-0.00584	16.86	62.65	0.954	0.982	29.89	0.729	5.58E-04	1.21E-10	0.9999
41.34	1.0665	-0.00729	-0.00663	19.72	76.72	0.896	0.937	38.69	0.715	4.31E-04	9.35E-11	0.9998
50.61	1.0813	-0.00650	-0.00852	22.50	83.26	0.851	0.897	49.32	0.731	3.38E-04	7.34E-11	0.9999
60.11	1.0988	-0.00809	-0.00889	44.30	115.3	0.877	0.745	68.57	0.650	2.43E-04	5.28E-11	0.9996
69.81	1.1199	-0.00389	-0.01824	50.41	76.22	1.363	0.757	66.99	0.734	2.49E-04	5.41E-11	0.9998
79.16	1.1456	-0.00366	-0.02231	69.84	103.0	1.490	0.786	93.09	0.765	1.79E-04	3.90E-11	0.9999
89.45	1.1860	-0.01406	-0.02662	96.41	180.3	1.240	0.777	131.9	0.714	1.26E-04	2.75E-11	0.9994
96.52	1.2735	-0.03053	-0.04221	155.3	516.8	1.021	1.031	323.3	0.830	5.15E-05	1.12E-11	0.9998
89.75	1.2211	0.01459	0.01263	71.18	317.5	0.992	1.055	150.6	0.747	1.11E-04	2.41E-11	0.9992
79.42	1.1786	0.02516	0.01801	70.01	390.4	0.961	0.836	136.2	0.576	1.22E-04	2.66E-11	0.9995
70.19	1.1514	0.01418	0.01685	49.92	223.1	0.869	0.810	113.2	0.636	1.47E-04	3.20E-11	0.9998
60.66	1.1254	0.01615	0.01193	42.55	282.8	0.838	0.851	98.23	0.578	1.70E-04	3.69E-11	0.9991
51.21	1.1055	0.00980	0.01041	40.53	239.2	0.831	0.845	108.0	0.625	1.54E-04	3.35E-11	0.9992
41.85	1.0883	0.00767	0.01106	21.77	130.6	0.865	0.857	68.76	0.661	2.42E-04	5.26E-11	0.9990
31.61	1.0709	0.00824	0.00969	20.38	108.0	0.888	0.884	53.36	0.665	3.12E-04	6.78E-11	0.9992
21.57	1.0530	0.01026	0.00820	20.95	95.47	0.912	0.927	41.83	0.670	3.98E-04	8.64E-11	0.9997
10.83	1.0322	0.01402	0.00673	25.56	84.39	0.941	0.918	35.60	0.694	4.68E-04	1.01E-10	0.9992
0.00	1.0000	0.01726	0.01537	32.99	119.2	0.983	1.001	61.36	0.756	2.72E-04	5.88E-11	0.9998



Figure SI-4. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for the disk2-R. The green lines are the fitting curves following the double stretched exponential (DSE) model.

Table SI-2. Double stretched exponential fitting parameters ( $(m/m_{dry})_{eq}$ , A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ) and the equivalent lifetime ( $\tau$ ), stretched exponential factor ( $\beta$ ) and kinetic constant (k), and the diffusion coefficient (D) for each adsorption/desorption step in the DVS experiment for the disk2-R.

RH [%]	(m/m <sub>dry</sub> ) <sub>eq</sub>	A1	A <sub>2</sub>	τ <sub>1</sub> [min]	τ₂ [min]	β1	β2	τ [min]	β	k [s <sup>-1</sup> ]	D [m²/s]	R
10.70	1.0221	-0.00407	-0.01669	21.30	106.1	0.975	0.918	83.90	0.823	1.99E-04	3.92E-11	0.9997
21.25	1.0378	-0.00145	-0.01504	14.72	80.55	1.051	0.872	72.75	0.832	2.29E-04	4.55E-11	0.9999
31.17	1.0514	-0.00141	-0.01273	15.62	78.65	0.950	0.882	70.26	0.838	2.37E-04	4.73E-11	0.9999
41.29	1.0649	-0.00063	-0.01345	13.79	75.08	1.147	0.849	71.29	0.829	2.34E-04	4.70E-11	1.0000
50.56	1.0790	-0.00128	-0.01317	16.30	83.94	0.885	0.885	76.13	0.846	2.19E-04	4.43E-11	1.0000
60.11	1.0955	-0.00552	-0.01158	57.24	115.5	0.907	0.792	89.34	0.739	1.87E-04	3.80E-11	0.9999
69.74	1.1159	-0.00424	-0.01736	63.85	106.6	1.183	0.775	91.76	0.745	1.82E-04	3.73E-11	0.9998
79.04	1.1409	-0.00119	-0.02486	93.01	120.2	1.130	0.844	116.6	0.836	1.43E-04	2.98E-11	1.0000
89.36	1.1832	-0.01962	-0.02273	113.8	326.0	1.174	0.715	171.5	0.596	9.72E-05	2.07E-11	0.9994
96.50	1.2705	-0.0356	-0.04154	166.4	584.9	1.007	1.308	370.2	0.997	4.50E-05	9.80E-12	0.9992
89.66	1.2178	0.01415	0.01496	72.38	273.8	0.998	1.008	148.1	0.768	1.13E-04	2.40E-11	0.9997
79.40	1.1775	0.01877	0.02226	72.73	241.9	1.003	0.800	130.9	0.651	1.27E-04	2.65E-11	0.9999
70.17	1.1493	0.01333	0.01736	56.51	221.7	0.887	0.803	121.3	0.650	1.37E-04	2.83E-11	0.9999
60.68	1.1251	0.01008	0.01646	36.35	158.7	0.881	0.844	94.27	0.689	1.77E-04	3.60E-11	0.9997
51.21	1.1047	0.00708	0.01318	37.35	177.9	0.869	0.827	108.7	0.682	1.53E-04	3.10E-11	0.9996
41.88	1.0871	0.00724	0.01183	27.76	143.3	0.871	0.884	83.76	0.707	1.99E-04	4.00E-11	0.9994
31.59	1.0698	0.00690	0.01127	26.53	123.6	0.887	0.896	73.98	0.723	2.25E-04	4.50E-11	0.9995
21.52	1.0517	0.00699	0.01167	27.86	113.8	0.891	0.906	71.01	0.744	2.35E-04	4.66E-11	0.9997
10.82	1.0310	0.00600	0.01530	27.43	102.9	0.905	0.896	74.28	0.780	2.24E-04	4.43E-11	0.9998
0.00	0.9993	0.00871	0.02382	43.26	208.7	0.923	0.852	146.1	0.733	1.14E-04	2.24E-11	0.9996



Figure SI-5. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for the disk3-T. The green lines are the fitting curves following the double stretched exponential (DSE) model.

Table SI-3. Double stretched exponential fitting parameters ( $(m/m_{dry})_{eq}$ , A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>,  $\tau_1$ ,  $\tau_2$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ ) and the equivalent lifetime ( $\tau$ ), stretched exponential factor ( $\beta$ ) and kinetic constant (k), and the diffusion coefficient (D) for each adsorption/desorption step in the DVS experiment for the disk3-T.

RH [%]	(m/m <sub>dry</sub> ) <sub>eq</sub>	A <sub>1</sub>	A <sub>2</sub>	τ <sub>1</sub> [min]	τ₂ [min]	β1	β₂	τ [min]	β	k [s⁻¹]	D [m²/s]	R
10.80	1.0208	-0.00527	-0.01418	22.94	88.91	0.944	0.939	65.27	0.816	2.55E-04	5.49E-11	0.9998
21.31	1.0361	-0.00233	-0.01332	15.24	76.61	0.995	0.884	64.14	0.815	2.60E-04	5.64E-11	0.9999
31.19	1.0494	-0.00158	-0.01208	14.27	74.78	0.976	0.872	65.28	0.820	2.55E-04	5.59E-11	0.9999
41.29	1.0625	-0.00161	-0.01224	15.48	78.86	0.948	0.867	68.85	0.816	2.42E-04	5.35E-11	0.9999
50.56	1.0766	-0.00545	-0.00885	44.91	112.0	0.822	0.847	79.89	0.759	2.09E-04	4.66E-11	1.0000
60.13	1.0918	-0.01161	-0.00436	71.83	179.4	0.823	0.793	87.68	0.690	1.90E-04	4.29E-11	0.9990
69.72	1.1102	-0.01578	-0.00394	68.36	268.8	0.858	0.878	82.71	0.641	2.02E-04	4.62E-11	0.9977
78.90	1.1319	-0.00348	-0.01970	72.25	123.1	1.194	0.794	109.6	0.768	1.52E-04	3.55E-11	0.9999
89.20	1.1748	-0.01905	-0.02448	114.0	391.8	1.134	0.723	200.9	0.587	8.30E-05	2.00E-11	0.9999
96.50	1.2636	-0.05602	-0.02556	203.0	694.2	1.037	1.537	329.7	0.971	5.06E-05	1.25E-11	0.9990
89.48	1.2132	0.01649	0.01195	78.48	445.8	0.982	1.034	172.1	0.675	9.68E-05	2.33E-11	0.9983
79.21	1.1743	0.02184	0.01931	70.81	333.1	0.958	0.813	139.4	0.601	1.20E-04	2.80E-11	0.9997
70.09	1.1440	0.02165	0.01264	68.72	654.3	0.828	0.895	167.3	0.535	9.96E-05	2.28E-11	0.9975
60.63	1.1230	0.01206	0.01486	37.15	175.8	0.864	0.879	92.56	0.681	1.80E-04	4.07E-11	0.9996
51.18	1.1028	0.00685	0.01334	31.78	162.7	0.846	0.870	102.4	0.718	1.63E-04	3.64E-11	0.9995
41.85	1.0847	0.00676	0.01231	26.58	142.1	0.870	0.870	85.85	0.705	1.94E-04	4.29E-11	0.9994
31.57	1.0672	0.00668	0.01150	26.81	128.7	0.872	0.889	78.22	0.721	2.13E-04	4.67E-11	0.9995
21.48	1.0493	0.00663	0.01214	27.14	114.2	0.872	0.889	73.08	0.739	2.28E-04	4.95E-11	0.9997
10.77	1.0287	0.00606	0.01500	25.85	107.5	0.887	0.901	76.15	0.776	2.19E-04	4.70E-11	0.9998
0.00	0.9977	0.00994	0.02156	41.74	207.7	0.888	0.890	136.7	0.743	1.22E-04	2.59E-11	0.9995



Figure SI-6. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for the disk1-L. The green lines are the fitting curves following the Ritger-Peppas (RP) model.

Table SI-4. Ritger-Peppas fitting parameters ( $(m/m_{dry})_{eq}$ ,  $\tau_{rp}$ ,  $n_{rp}$ ), the corresponding kinetic constant ( $k_{rp}$ ) and diffusion parameter ( $D_{rp}$ ), the equivalent kinetic constant (k'), and diffusion coefficient (D') for each adsorption/desorption step in the DVS experiment for the disk1-L.

RH [%]	(m/m <sub>dry</sub> ) <sub>eq</sub>	τ <sub>rp</sub> [min]	k <sub>rp</sub> [s⁻¹]	n	D <sub>rp</sub> [m²/s]	k′ [s⁻¹]	β'	D' [m²/s]
10.82	1.0234	35.36	4.71E-04	0.930	1.02E-10	6.62E-04	1.068	1.43E-10
21.31	1.0388	32.90	5.07E-04	0.886	1.10E-10	7.23E-04	1.018	1.57E-10
31.21	1.0525	40.02	4.16E-04	0.813	9.03E-11	6.15E-04	0.937	1.33E-10
41.34	1.0665	53.79	3.10E-04	0.753	6.73E-11	4.73E-04	0.870	1.03E-10
50.61	1.0813	72.10	2.31E-04	0.711	5.02E-11	3.63E-04	0.823	7.88E-11
60.11	1.0988	105.74	1.58E-04	0.703	3.43E-11	2.49E-04	0.814	5.40E-11
69.81	1.1199	110.97	1.50E-04	0.718	3.27E-11	2.35E-04	0.830	5.10E-11
79.16	1.1456	151.22	1.10E-04	0.736	2.40E-11	1.70E-04	0.850	3.70E-11
89.45	1.1860	203.46	8.19E-05	0.803	1.78E-11	1.22E-04	0.926	2.65E-11
96.52	1.2735	377.2	4.42E-05	0.903	9.63E-12	6.27E-05	1.037	1.37E-11
89.75	1.2211	133.4	1.25E-04	0.951	2.72E-11	1.74E-04	1.091	3.79E-11
79.42	1.1786	183.42	9.09E-05	0.766	1.98E-11	1.38E-04	0.884	3.00E-11
70.19	1.1514	179.15	9.30E-05	0.660	2.02E-11	1.52E-04	0.766	3.30E-11
60.66	1.1254	143.24	1.16E-04	0.658	2.53E-11	1.90E-04	0.763	4.13E-11
51.21	1.1055	147.05	1.13E-04	0.672	2.46E-11	1.83E-04	0.779	3.98E-11
41.85	1.0883	98.56	1.69E-04	0.657	3.67E-11	2.76E-04	0.763	6.00E-11
31.61	1.0709	71.20	2.34E-04	0.714	5.08E-11	3.67E-04	0.826	7.95E-11
21.57	1.0530	57.43	2.90E-04	0.753	6.29E-11	4.43E-04	0.870	9.61E-11
10.83	1.0322	51.87	3.21E-04	0.800	6.96E-11	4.78E-04	0.923	1.03E-10
0.00	1.0000	83.73	1.99E-04	0.815	4.31E-11	2.94E-04	0.939	6.36E-11



Figure SI-7. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for the disk2-R. The green lines are the fitting curves following the Ritger-Peppas (RP) model.

Table SI-5. Ritger-Peppas fitting parameters ( $(m/m_{dry})_{eq}$ ,  $\tau_{rp}$ ,  $n_{rp}$ ), the corresponding kinetic constant ( $k_{rp}$ ) and diffusion parameter ( $D_{rp}$ ), the equivalent kinetic constant (k'), and diffusion coefficient (D') for each adsorption/desorption step in the DVS experiment for the disk2-R.

RH [%]	(m/m <sub>dry</sub> ) <sub>eq</sub>	τ <sub>rp</sub> [min]	k <sub>rp</sub> [s⁻¹]	n	D <sub>rp</sub> [m²/s]	k′ [s⁻¹]	β'	D' [m²/s]
10.70	1.0221	108.7	1.53E-04	0.767	3.03E-11	2.32E-04	0.886	4.58E-11
21.25	1.0378	110.3	1.51E-04	0.716	3.00E-11	2.36E-04	0.829	4.69E-11
31.17	1.0514	106.7	1.56E-04	0.720	3.12E-11	2.44E-04	0.833	4.86E-11
41.29	1.0649	110.9	1.50E-04	0.712	3.02E-11	2.36E-04	0.824	4.73E-11
50.56	1.0790	118.1	1.41E-04	0.715	2.85E-11	2.21E-04	0.827	4.46E-11
60.11	1.0955	143.2	1.16E-04	0.705	2.37E-11	1.83E-04	0.816	3.74E-11
69.74	1.1159	149.1	1.12E-04	0.722	2.30E-11	1.74E-04	0.835	3.58E-11
79.04	1.1409	189.7	8.79E-05	0.740	1.83E-11	1.35E-04	0.855	2.82E-11
89.36	1.1832	252.8	6.59E-05	0.815	1.40E-11	9.73E-05	0.939	2.07E-11
96.50	1.2705	459.4	3.63E-05	0.890	7.90E-12	5.17E-05	1.023	1.13E-11
89.66	1.2178	129.9	1.28E-04	0.974	2.73E-11	1.77E-04	1.116	3.78E-11
79.40	1.1775	186.7	8.93E-05	0.773	1.86E-11	1.35E-04	0.892	2.81E-11
70.17	1.1493	185.4	8.99E-05	0.687	1.85E-11	1.44E-04	0.795	2.95E-11
60.68	1.1251	141.9	1.17E-04	0.681	2.39E-11	1.88E-04	0.789	3.84E-11
51.21	1.1047	147.3	1.13E-04	0.697	2.29E-11	1.79E-04	0.807	3.62E-11
41.88	1.0871	122.6	1.36E-04	0.674	2.73E-11	2.19E-04	0.781	4.40E-11
31.59	1.0698	105.1	1.59E-04	0.704	3.16E-11	2.50E-04	0.815	4.99E-11
21.52	1.0517	102.1	1.63E-04	0.720	3.24E-11	2.55E-04	0.833	5.06E-11
10.82	1.0310	108.2	1.54E-04	0.728	3.04E-11	2.39E-04	0.842	4.72E-11
0.00	0.9993	203.6	8.19E-05	0.705	1.61E-11	1.29E-04	0.816	2.53E-11



Figure SI-8. DVS adsorption/desorption experiment for the disk3-T. The green lines are the fitting curves following the Ritger-Peppas (RP) model.

Table SI-6. Ritger-Peppas fitting parameters ( $(m/m_{dry})_{eq}$ ,  $\tau_{rp}$ ,  $n_{rp}$ ), the corresponding kinetic constant ( $k_{rp}$ ) and diffusion parameter ( $D_{rp}$ ), the equivalent kinetic constant (k'), and diffusion coefficient (D') for each adsorption/desorption step in the DVS experiment for the disk3-T.

RH [%]	(m/m <sub>dry</sub> ) <sub>eq</sub>	τ <sub>rp</sub> [min]	k <sub>rp</sub> [S <sup>-1</sup> ]	n	D <sub>rp</sub> [m²/s]	k′ [s⁻¹]	β'	D' [m²/s]
10.80	1.0208	84.79	1.97E-04	0.791	4.22E-11	2.94E-04	0.912	6.31E-11
21.31	1.0361	91.61	1.82E-04	0.729	3.95E-11	2.82E-04	0.843	6.12E-11
31.19	1.0494	96.56	1.73E-04	0.717	3.78E-11	2.70E-04	0.829	5.90E-11
41.29	1.0625	105.7	1.58E-04	0.702	3.48E-11	2.49E-04	0.813	5.50E-11
50.56	1.0766	123.7	1.35E-04	0.702	3.01E-11	2.13E-04	0.813	4.75E-11
60.13	1.0918	145.0	1.15E-04	0.690	2.60E-11	1.83E-04	0.799	4.14E-11
69.72	1.1102	139.2	1.20E-04	0.712	2.74E-11	1.88E-04	0.823	4.30E-11
78.90	1.1319	176.9	9.42E-05	0.723	2.20E-11	1.47E-04	0.836	3.43E-11
89.20	1.1748	281.4	5.92E-05	0.797	1.43E-11	8.82E-05	0.919	2.12E-11
96.50	1.2636	386.1	4.32E-05	0.937	1.07E-11	6.04E-05	1.076	1.50E-11
89.48	1.2132	129.9	1.28E-04	0.977	3.09E-11	1.77E-04	1.120	4.27E-11
79.21	1.1743	197.4	8.44E-05	0.745	1.97E-11	1.30E-04	0.860	3.03E-11
70.09	1.1440	243.5	6.85E-05	0.630	1.57E-11	1.14E-04	0.732	2.63E-11
60.63	1.1230	158.4	1.05E-04	0.632	2.38E-11	1.76E-04	0.734	3.97E-11
51.18	1.1028	154.5	1.08E-04	0.658	2.41E-11	1.76E-04	0.764	3.93E-11
41.85	1.0847	122.2	1.36E-04	0.677	3.02E-11	2.19E-04	0.784	4.85E-11
31.57	1.0672	110.2	1.51E-04	0.698	3.31E-11	2.40E-04	0.808	5.25E-11
21.48	1.0493	106.7	1.56E-04	0.702	3.39E-11	2.47E-04	0.813	5.35E-11
10.77	1.0287	109.4	1.52E-04	0.720	3.27E-11	2.38E-04	0.832	5.10E-11
0.00	0.9977	190.2	8.76E-05	0.703	1.86E-11	1.38E-04	0.814	2.94E-11



Figure SI-9. a) Adsorption and b) desorption kinetic constant (k), and c) adsorption and d) desorption diffusion coefficient (D) using the DSE model for the three beech disks: disk1-L, disk2-R and disk3-T.



Figure SI-10. Adsorption/desorption diffusion coefficient (D) as a function of the relative humidity for the three beech disks: a) disk1-L, b) disk-R, and c) disk3-T, using the double stretched exponential (DSE) model, the Ritger-Peppas (RP) model and the equivalent to the single stretched exponential function (SSE) from the Ritger-Peppas model.



Figure SI-11. a) Adsorption and c) desorption relative directional kinetic constant ( $k_{rel}$ : L/R, L/T and R/T), and c) adsorption and d) desorption relative directional diffusion coefficient ( $D_{rel}$ : L/R, L/T and R/T) for the three beech disks: disk1-L, disk2-R and disk3-T.



Figure SI-12. (Top) Moisture adsorption isotherm, fitting of the data following the GAB\* model ( $0 \le a_w \le 0.99$ ), and deconvolution following the SSO model (bound water, blue; non-bound water violet). (Bottom) Both the moisture adsorption (red) and desorption (green) isotherms and the corresponding hysteresis factor  $\eta$  for the three beech disks: a) disk1-L ( $\eta = 1.24$ ), b) disk2-R ( $\eta = 1.26$ ), and c) disk3-T ( $\eta = 1.28$ ).

Table SI-7. GAB\* fitting parameters ( $M_0$ , C, K and N), the SSO fitting parameters: exponent (n), the maximum bound water moisture capacity ( $M_{SSO}^0$ ), and the sorption sites molar concentration (SSO) for the three beech disks.

	M <sub>0</sub>	С	К	Ν	n	Msso <sup>0</sup>	SSO
							[mmol/g]
disk1-L	0.0773	4.47	0.670	0.00447	0.837	0.141	7.80
disk2-R	0.0712	4.76	0.692	0.00407	0.830	0.134	7.43
disk3-T	0.0695	4.76	0.677	0.00484	0.819	0.128	7.13



Figure SI-13. Sorption coefficient (S) profile in the adsorption (red curve) and desorption (orange curve) process for the three beech disks: a) disk1-L, b) disk2-R, and c) disk3-T.

disk1-L		(	disk2-R	disk3-T		
RH (%)	S [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	RH (%)	S [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	RH (%)	S [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	
10.8	2.57	10.7	2.87	10.8	2.18	
21.3	2.18	21.3	2.48	21.3	1.94	
31.2	2.03	31.2	2.32	31.2	1.82	
41.3	1.95	41.3	2.22	41.3	1.75	
50.6	1.95	50.6	2.21	50.6	1.76	
60.1	2.01	60.1	2.26	60.1	1.78	
69.8	2.11	69.7	2.38	69.7	1.85	
79.2	2.28	79.0	2.57	78.9	1.96	
89.5	2.60	89.4	2.98	89.2	2.32	
96.5	3.70	96.5	4.27	96.5	3.39	
89.8	3.17	89.7	3.63	89.5	2.92	
79.4	2.86	79.4	3.32	79.2	2.68	
70.2	2.73	70.2	3.14	70.1	2.47	
60.7	2.59	60.7	3.01	60.6	2.43	
51.2	2.56	51.2	2.96	51.2	2.39	
41.9	2.60	41.9	2.99	41.9	2.38	
31.6	2.75	31.6	3.15	31.6	2.49	
21.6	2.98	21.5	3.40	21.5	2.66	
10.8	3.57	10.8	4.01	10.8	3.04	

Table SI-8. Sorption coefficient (S) calculated from the moisture sorption isotherms for the three beech disks: disk1-L, disk2-R, and disk3-T.



Figure SI-14. Moisture transmission experiments on the three beech disks under different conditions: inner RH-value of 100% against outer RH-value of a) 0% and b) 65%; inner RH-value of 0% against outer RH-value of c) 65% and d) 100%. The lines are the fits to the experimental data using the exponential-linear fitting function (Eq. 4).



Figure SI-15. Moisture transmission experiments on the three beech disks under different conditions: inner RH-value of 100% against outer RH-value of a) 0% and b) 65%; inner RH-value of 0% against outer RH-value of c) 65% and d) 100%. The lines are linear fits to the experimental data in the linear regime.

	inwy ac amerene rela	ence mannancy contains		
	100% vs 0%-RH	100% vs 65%-RH	0% vs 65%-RH	0% vs 100%-RH
Δр [Ра]	2862	1002	1860	2862
P [mol/(m s Pa)]	(3.66 ± 0.04) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(3.87 ± 0.04) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(4.38 ± 0.04) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(4.04 ± 0.04) 10 <sup>-10</sup>
TR [mol/(m <sup>2</sup> s)]	(9.95 ± 0.02) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(3.69 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(7.76 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(11.00 ± 0.02) 10 <sup>-4</sup>
ṁ [mol/s]	(9.044 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(3.298 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(6.829 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(9.993 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>
$D_{\theta} [m^2/s]$	(1.01 ± 0.02) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(1.54 ± 0.10) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(0.97 ± 0.03) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(0.78 ± 0.03) 10 <sup>-10</sup>
$D_{\tau} [m^2/s]$	(4.72 ± 0.10) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(2.32 ± 0.20) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(2.79 ± 0.10) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(1.32 ± 0.10) 10 <sup>-10</sup>
D <sub>MSI</sub> [m <sup>2</sup> /s]	$(1.6 \pm 0.1) \ 10^{-10}$	$(1.6 \pm 0.1) \ 10^{-10}$	(2.0 ± 0.2) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	$(1.8 \pm 0.1) \ 10^{-10}$
$S_{\theta}$ [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	3.6 ± 0.1	$2.5 \pm 0.2$	$4.5 \pm 0.1$	5.2 ± 0.2
$S_{\tau}$ [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	0.77 ± 0.03	$1.7 \pm 0.1$	$1.57 \pm 0.05$	$3.1 \pm 0.1$
S <sub>MSI</sub> [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	$2.25 \pm 0.05$	$2.39 \pm 0.05$	$2.16 \pm 0.04$	2.25 ± 0.05
θ [min]	30 ± 1	20 ± 1	31 ± 1	39 ± 1
τ [min]	31 ± 1	62 ± 5	52 ± 1	110 ± 3
β	$0.80 \pm 0.02$	0.72 ± 0.06	$1.14 \pm 0.04$	$0.90 \pm 0.03$
M <sub>w</sub> (%)	$4.2 \pm 0.1$	$1.0 \pm 0.1$	$3.2 \pm 0.1$	5.9 ± 0.2
Area (%)	8.4	1.0	3.6	8.4

Table SI-9. Permeability coefficient (P), transmission rate (TR), mass rate ( $\dot{m}$ ), diffusion coefficient (D<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, D<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, D<sub>MSI</sub>), sorption coefficient (S<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, S<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, S<sub>MSI</sub>), lagtime ( $\theta$ ), lifetime ( $\tau$ ), stretched exponential factor ( $\beta$ ), and moisture capacity (M<sub>w</sub>) at different relative humidity conditions for the disk1-L.

Table SI-10. Permeability coefficient (P), transmission rate (TR), mass rate (m), diffusion coefficient (D<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, D<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, D<sub>MSI</sub>), sorption coefficient (S<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, S<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, S<sub>MSI</sub>), lagtime ( $\theta$ ), lifetime ( $\tau$ ), stretched exponential factor ( $\beta$ ), and moisture capacity (M<sub>w</sub>) at different relative humidity conditions for the disk2-R.

	100% vs 0%-RH	100% vs 65%-RH	0% vs 65%-RH	0% vs 100%-RH
$\Delta$ p [Pa]	2862	1002	1860	2862
P [mol/(m s Pa)]	(1.35 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	$(2.08 \pm 0.02) \ 10^{-10}$	(8.59 ± 0.09) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(1.25 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-10</sup>
TR [mol/(m <sup>2</sup> s)]	(3.80 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(2.06 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(1.59 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(3.52 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>
ṁ [mol/s]	(3.393 ± 0.002) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(1.823 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(1.391 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(3.142 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>
$D_{\theta} [m^2/s]$	(1.80 ± 0.04) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(1.94 ± 0.05) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(1.23 ± 0.03) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(3.59 ± 0.08) 10 <sup>-11</sup>
$D_{\tau} [m^2/s]$	(1.13 ± 0.02) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	$(4.81 \pm 0.01) \ 10^{-11}$	(1.22 ± 0.03) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(1.90 ± 0.04) 10 <sup>-10</sup>
D <sub>MSI</sub> [m <sup>2</sup> /s]	(5.3 ± 0.3) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(7.6 ± 0.4) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(3.5 ± 0.2) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(4.9 ± 0.2) 10 <sup>-11</sup>
$S_{\theta}$ [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	7.5 ± 0.2	$10.7 \pm 0.3$	7.0 ± 0.2	$3.5 \pm 0.1$
$S_{\tau}$ [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	$1.19 \pm 0.03$	4.3 ± 0.1	$0.70 \pm 0.02$	0.66 ± 0.02
S <sub>MSI</sub> [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	2.56 ± 0.03	2.73 ± 0.03	2.44 ± 0.03	2.56 ± 0.03
θ [min]	160 ± 1	147 ± 2	228 ± 2	80 ± 1
τ [min]	120 ± 1	279 ± 5	108 ± 1	71 ± 1
β	$0.96 \pm 0.01$	$0.74 \pm 0.01$	$0.74 \pm 0.01$	$1.05 \pm 0.02$
M <sub>w</sub> (%)	7.5 ± 0.1	3.7 ± 0.1	$4.4 \pm 0.1$	$3.5 \pm 0.1$
Area (%)	8.2	4.8	3.5	8.2

	100% vs 0%-RH	100% vs 65%-RH	0% vs 65%-RH	0% vs 100%-RH
∆р [Ра]	2862	1002	1860	2862
P [mol/(m s Pa)]	(0.98 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(1.89 ± 0.02) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(5.57 ± 0.05) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(0.99 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-10</sup>
TR [mol/(m² s)]	(2.62 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(1.79 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(0.99 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>	(2.63 ± 0.01) 10 <sup>-4</sup>
ṁ [mol/s]	(2.315 ± 0.002) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(1.568 ± 0.002) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(0.859 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>	(2.327 ± 0.001) 10 <sup>-8</sup>
D <sub>θ</sub> [m²/s]	(1.47 ± 0.03) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(2.05 ± 0.04) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(1.37 ± 0.03) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(2.86 ± 0.06) 10 <sup>-11</sup>
D <sub>τ</sub> [m²/s]	(8.10 ± 0.20) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(6.38 ± 0.20) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(1.13 ± 0.02) 10 <sup>-10</sup>	(2.04 ± 0.05) 10 <sup>-10</sup>
D <sub>MSI</sub> [m²/s]	(5.0 ± 0.2) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	(9.0 ± 0.4) 10 <sup>-11</sup>	$(3.0 \pm 0.1) \ 10^{-11}$	(5.0 ± 0.2) 10 <sup>-11</sup>
S <sub>θ</sub> [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	6.7 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.2	$4.1 \pm 0.1$	$3.4 \pm 0.1$
S <sub>τ</sub> [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	$1.21 \pm 0.03$	$3.0 \pm 0.1$	$0.49 \pm 0.01$	$0.48 \pm 0.01$
S <sub>MSI</sub> [mol/(m <sup>3</sup> Pa)]	$1.96 \pm 0.02$	$2.10 \pm 0.03$	$1.88 \pm 0.02$	$1.96 \pm 0.02$
θ [min]	217 ± 1	152 ± 1	224 ± 2	112 ± 1
τ [min]	186 ± 1	231 ± 4	127 ± 1	74 ± 1
β	$1.02 \pm 0.01$	$1.00 \pm 0.01$	$0.94 \pm 0.01$	$1.06 \pm 0.02$
M <sub>w</sub> (%)	8.0 ± 0.1	$3.8 \pm 0.1$	$3.1 \pm 0.1$	$4.1 \pm 0.1$
Area (%)	7.9	4.5	3.3	7.9

Table SI-11. Permeability coefficient (P), transmission rate (TR), mass rate ( $\dot{m}$ ), diffusion coefficient (D<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, D<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, D<sub>MSI</sub>), sorption coefficient (S<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, S<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, S<sub>MSI</sub>), lagtime ( $\theta$ ), lifetime ( $\tau$ ), stretched exponential factor ( $\beta$ ), and moisture capacity (M<sub>w</sub>) at different relative humidity conditions for the disk3-T.



Figure SI-16. a) Mass rate ( $\dot{m}$ ), b) transmission rate (TR), c) permeability coefficient (P), d,e,f) diffusion coefficient (D<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, D<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, D<sub>MSI</sub>), g,h,i) sorption coefficient (S<sub> $\theta$ </sub>, S<sub> $\tau$ </sub>, S<sub>MSI</sub>), j) lagtime ( $\theta$ ), k) lifetime ( $\tau$ ), and l) stretched exponential factor ( $\beta$ ) for the three beech disks with the moisture transmission parallel to the longitudinal (L), radial (R), and tangential (T) direction at different relative humidity conditions. Note: in the x-axis, the first value refers to the inner RH-value (cup) and the second one to the outer RH-value (gas flow).



Figure SI-17. a) Relative directional permeability coefficient ( $P_{rel}$ : L/R, L/T and R/T), b) relative directional diffusion coefficient obtained from the lagtime value  $\theta$  ( $D_{\theta}$ : L/R, L/T and R/T), and c) relative diffusion coefficient obtained from the moisture sorption isotherms ( $D_{MSI}$ : L/R, L/T and R/T) at different relative humidity conditions for the three beech disks: disk1-L, disk2-R and disk3-T. Note: in the x-axis, the first value refers to the inner RH-value (cup) and the second one to the outer RH-value (gas flow).



Figure SI-18. Transmission rate (TR) as a function of the vapor pressure difference at different relative humidity conditions for the three beech disks: disk1-L, disk2-R and disk3-T. Note: the green curve is representing the theoretical linear behavior.